



City of Greenfield

599 El Camino Real
Greenfield, CA 93927

City Council Meeting Agenda August 9, 2016 6:00 P.M.

Mayor John Huerta, Jr.

Mayor Pro-Tem, Raul Rodriguez

Councilmembers

Lance Walker

Avelina Torres

Leah Santibanez

Your courtesy is requested to help our meeting run smoothly.

Please follow the following rules of conduct for public participation in City Council meetings:

- Refraining from public displays or outbursts such as unsolicited applause, comments or cheering.
- Any disruptive activities that substantially interfere with the ability of the City Council to carry out its meeting will not be permitted and offenders will be requested to leave the meeting.

Please turn off cell phones and pagers.

A. CALL TO ORDER

B. ROLL CALL – CITY COUNCIL

Mayor Huerta, Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, Councilmembers Walker, Torres and Santibanez

C. INVOCATION BY PASTOR INES LUGO VERLUGO

D. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

E. AGENDA REVIEW

City Council Meeting Agenda
August 9, 2016

F. PUBLIC COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

This portion of the Agenda allows an individual the opportunity to address the Council on any items not on closed session, consent calendar, public hearings, and city council business. Under state regulation, **no action can be taken on non-agenda items, including issues raised under this agenda item.** Members of the public should be aware of this when addressing the Council regarding items not specifically referenced on the Agenda. **PLEASE NOTE:** For record keeping purposes and in the event that staff may need to contact you, we request that all speakers step up to the lectern and use the microphone, stating your name and address, which is strictly voluntary. This will then be public information. A three-minute time limit may be imposed on all speakers other than staff members.

G. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered routine and may be approved by one action of the City Council, unless a request for removal for discussion or explanation is received prior to the time Council votes on the motion to adopt.

G-1. APPROVE City of Greenfield Warrants #299422 through #299480 and Bank Drafts #1939 through #1956 in the amount of \$736,181.81 - *Page 1*

G-2. APPROVE Minutes of the July 26, 2016 City Council Meeting - *Page 15*

H. MAYOR'S PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS, COMMUNICATIONS, RESOLUTIONS

I. CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS

I-1. ADOPT A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Adopting Written Arguments In Favor of the November 8, 2016 Measure to Approve a Cannabis Business Tax – *Page 25*

a. Staff Report

b. Public Comments

c. City Council Comments / Review / Action

Staff Recommended Action – Approval of Resolution #2016-71

I-2. ADOPT A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving Medical Marijuana Revised Facility Permit Procedures and the Fee Schedule Associates with Such Permit - *Page 31*

a. Staff Report

b. Public Comments

c. City Council Comments / Review / Action

Staff Recommended Action – Approval of Resolution #2016-72

**City Council Meeting Agenda
August 9, 2016**

- I-3. ADOPT** A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Authorizing the City Manager to Execute a Contract with Bob Murray & Associates for City Manager Recruitment Services - **Page 52**
 - a. Staff Report
 - b. Public Comments
 - c. City Council Comments / Review / Action**Staff Recommended Action – Approval of Resolution #2016-73**

J. BRIEF REPORTS ON CONFERENCES, SEMINARS, AND MEETINGS ATTENDED BY MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

- a. City Council Development Committee
- b. City Council Agenda Committee
- c. City Council Parks Committee
- d. League of California Cities Monterey Bay Division
- e. Transportation Agency for Monterey County
- f. Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments
- g. Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority
- h. Monterey Salinas Transit
- i. Mayor City Selection Committee
- j. Salinas Valley Mayors/Managers Group
- k. Planning Commission

K. COMMENTS FROM CITY COUNCIL

L. CITY MANAGER REPORT

M. ADJOURNMENT

This agenda is duly posted outside City Hall and on the City of Greenfield web site www.ci.greenfield.ca.us



Greenfield, CA

Check Report

By Check Number

Date Range: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Number	Vendor Name	Payment Date	Payment Type	Discount Amount	Payment Amount	Number
Bank Code: APBNK-APBNK						
03963	AMERIPRIDE	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	262.13	299422
02171	ANDREW TIPTON	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	100.00	299423
04127	CARMEL & NACCASHA, LLP	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	1,073.00	299424
00396	CASEY PRINTING	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	1,167.80	299425
00286	DIANE BRUEGEMAN	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	100.00	299426
01879	ENRIQUE RAMIREZ	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	100.00	299427
00651	FASTENAL COMPANY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	43.73	299428
00631	FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC. 795	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	159.01	299429
04132	GARDAWORLD	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	7.90	299430
00725	GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	208.13	299431
00721	GREENFIELD TRUE VALUE	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	84.78	299432
01646	JEREMY PURA ELECTRICAL	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	750.00	299433
01103	KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPITAL	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	336.50	299434
03106	L+G, LLP Attorneys at Law	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	11,587.50	299435
03879	MARIA CASTILLO	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	100.00	299436
04047	MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATIONAL, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	10,337.28	299437
13015	MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	12,587.35	299438
01348	MONTEREY COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOG	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	497.00	299439
01316	MONTEREY COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	6,470.74	299440
01506	OFFICE DEPOT	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	366.88	299441
01908	SALINAS VALLEY PRO SQUAD	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	68.40	299442
01999	SALINAS VALLEY SOLID WASTE AUTHORITY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	359.61	299443
01960	SOUTH COUNTY NEWSPAPER	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	200.00	299444
03882	SPCA FOR MONTEREY COUNTY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	6,513.67	299445
00386	STATE OF CA DEPT. OF JUSTICE	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	292.00	299446
03920	STERICYCLE, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	210.70	299447
02000	TERRA ENGINEERING	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	20,000.00	299448
03901	THE KRKC STATIONS	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	67.00	299449
13008	TINA MARTINEZ	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	50.00	299450
02037	TRI-CITIES DISPOSAL	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	414.88	299451
00634	TYLER TECHNOLOGIES	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	1,381.25	299452
02201	VEGETABLE GROWERS SUPPLY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	12.26	299453
03936	VieVu	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	207.13	299454
00156	AMERICAN SUPPLY COMPANY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	207.98	299455
03963	AMERIPRIDE	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	263.88	299456
00215	ANTHEM - BLUE CROSS	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	36,464.95	299457
00201	BEN-E-LECT	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	77.00	299458
03877	CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE & ECONOMIC DEV	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	247,233.42	299459
00647	FIRST NIGHT MONTEREY, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	4,013.00	299462
00734	GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	490.76	299463
01506	OFFICE DEPOT	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	299.96	299464
00123	RUBY ALVAREZ	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	50.00	299465
19026	SONIAN, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	198.00	299466
01960	SOUTH COUNTY NEWSPAPER	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	49.70	299467
02071	TELCO AUTOMATION, INC.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	1,623.00	299468
03873	U.S. Bank Equipment Finance	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	4,830.99	299469
02103	UNION BANK, N.A.	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	311,836.62	299470
02201	VEGETABLE GROWERS SUPPLY	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	63.23	299471
02233	VISION TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS	07/22/2016	Regular	0.00	255.25	299472
03987	U.S. BANK	07/27/2016	Regular	0.00	6,385.77	299473
00752	CITY OF GREENFIELD	07/28/2016	Regular	0.00	69.19	299477
00713	G P O A	07/28/2016	Regular	0.00	800.00	299478
00795	GREENFIELD POLICE SUPERVISORS	07/28/2016	Regular	0.00	150.00	299479
01911	SEIU 521	07/28/2016	Regular	0.00	382.87	299480

Check Report

Date Range: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Number	Vendor Name	Payment Date	Payment Type	Discount Amount	Payment Amount	Number
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	120.34	DFT0001939
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	600.00	DFT0001940
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	300.00	DFT0001941
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	475.00	DFT0001942
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	75.00	DFT0001943
01916	STATE STREET BANK & TRUST CO.	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	470.00	DFT0001944
00431	DEPT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	802.61	DFT0001945
00384	STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	1,151.43	DFT0001946
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	3,735.98	DFT0001947
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	15,974.24	DFT0001948
00384	STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	4,827.52	DFT0001949
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	15,089.08	DFT0001950
00384	STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	29.70	DFT0001952
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	95.72	DFT0001953
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	409.28	DFT0001954
00384	STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	23.14	DFT0001955
03103	Internal Revenue Service	07/29/2016	Bank Draft	0.00	140.57	DFT0001956

Bank Code APBNK Summary

Payment Type	Payable Count	Payment Count	Discount	Payment
Regular Checks	112	54	0.00	691,862.20
Manual Checks	0	0	0.00	0.00
Voided Checks	0	0	0.00	0.00
Bank Drafts	17	17	0.00	44,319.61
EFT's	0	0	0.00	0.00
	129	71	0.00	736,181.81

Fund Summary

Fund	Name	Period	Amount
999	CASH CONTROL	7/2016	736,181.81
			<u>736,181.81</u>



Greenfield, CA

Expense Approval Report

By Fund

Payment Dates 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
Fund: 100 - GENERAL FUND					
SPCA FOR MONTEREY COUNTY	299445	07/22/2016	SPCA ANIMAL CONTROL SERVIC...	100-230-63400.000	3,796.72
DIANE BRUEGGEMAN	299426	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
MARIA CASTILLO	299436	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
ENRIQUE RAMIREZ	299427	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
ANDREW TIPTON	299423	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	CREDIT - PRIVACY FILTER	100-190-61400.000	-116.15
MONTEREY COUNTY INFORMA...	299439	07/22/2016	MOBILE DATA COMMUNICATI...	100-215-64500.000	497.00
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	EUTHANASIA/CREMATION SERV...	100-230-63400.000	51.50
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	EUTHANASIA/CREMATION SERV...	100-230-63400.000	51.50
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-310-61400.000	56.90
ENRIQUE RAMIREZ	299427	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
ANDREW TIPTON	299423	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
DIANE BRUEGGEMAN	299426	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
MARIA CASTILLO	299436	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
TINA MARTINEZ	299450	07/22/2016	PLANNING COMMISSION ATTE...	100-601-63600.000	50.00
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	EUTHANASIA/CREMATION SERV...	100-230-63400.000	51.50
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	CALCULATOR	100-310-61400.000	16.07
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-111-61400.000	30.97
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-190-61400.000	24.16
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	EUTHANASIA/CREMATION SERV...	100-230-63400.000	66.50
VieVu	299454	07/22/2016	BODY WORN VIDEO CAMERA	100-215-71400.000	207.13
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	P. PARK - NIPPLE	100-550-65700.000	2.83
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	P. PARK - PVC	100-550-65700.000	4.98
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	P. PARK - IRRIGATION REPAIRS	100-550-65700.000	30.72
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	EUTHANASIA/CREMATION SERV...	100-230-63400.000	51.50
SALINAS VALLEY PRO SQUAD	299442	07/22/2016	UNIFORM - ADELE FRESE	100-201-65200.000	231.78
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	The Vines	100-24696	2,312.35
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	CVC CLEANING TOWELS	100-111-65600.000	20.76
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	UNIFORMS	100-310-65200.000	85.69
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	SHOP MOP/MATS	100-310-65600.000	18.50
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	SHOP TOWELS/MATS	100-311-66200.000	5.24
SALINAS VALLEY PRO SQUAD	299442	07/22/2016	SEW ON PATCH - JOSEPH DYLES	100-215-65200.000	14.00
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	Plan Review	100-601-63600.000	1,000.00
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	Small Permits	100-601-63600.000	4,375.00
VEGETABLE GROWERS SUPPLY	299453	07/22/2016	WATER CONTAINER	100-310-65200.000	12.26
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	PHOTO PAPER	100-201-61400.000	40.99
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	BATTERY CHARGER FOR PATROL...	100-215-71400.000	36.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	LCW SORKSHOP LUNCH	100-110-67100.000	37.55
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	MICROSOFT	100-125-63200.000	16.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	MICROSOFT	100-125-63200.000	60.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	MICROSOFT	100-125-63200.000	224.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	COM REPORT	100-125-63200.000	79.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	VARIDESK - MBASIA REIMBURS...	100-26001	640.89
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - LUNCH	100-101-67100.000	8.74
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-101-67100.000	13.61
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-101-67100.000	27.61
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - DINNER	100-101-67100.000	65.08
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE -STARBUCKS ...	100-26001	9.19
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-101-67100.111	31.25
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-101-67100.111	28.50
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	GAS (NO RECEIPT)	100-101-67300.111	30.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	RANCHO - CITY COUNCIL MEET...	100-101-65100.000	25.57
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	RANCHO - CITY COUNCIL MEET...	100-101-65100.000	20.29

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - COFFEE/CREA...	100-111-65100.000	63.91
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - NOTARY COLD SEAL ...	100-170-61400.000	24.70
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	COSTCO - JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	100-201-65600.000	74.67
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SAFeway - SPECIAL OPERATION	100-215-65100.000	121.61
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SANTA LUCIA BAKERY - OFFICER...	100-215-65100.000	55.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	RANCHO - OFFICERS SWEARING ..	100-215-65100.000	8.66
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	COSTCO - OFFICERS SWEARING ...	100-215-65100.000	59.98
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SAFeway - OFFICERS SWEARIN...	100-215-65100.000	89.98
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SANTA LUCIA BAKERY - 40 BOLI...	100-215-65100.000	13.40
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	RANCHO - SPECIAL OPERATION	100-215-65100.000	12.99
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - SUPPLIES FOR ...	100-215-65100.000	49.04
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - FOOD/SPECIAL...	100-215-65100.000	10.59
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SAFeway - SPECIAL OPERATION	100-215-65100.000	48.04
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	COSTCO - OFFICERS SWEARING ...	100-215-65100.000	119.96
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CDA - SART SUMMIT V FOR R...	100-215-67100.000	75.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	EXPEDIA - RUBY ALVAREZ FLIGH...	100-215-67200.000	284.12
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AYRES INN AND SUITES CANCEL...	100-215-67200.000	-202.82
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AYRES INN AND SUITES-RAUL G...	100-215-67200.000	202.82
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	NORTHERN TOOL - HYDRAULIC ...	100-230-65400.000	257.98
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-310-52510.000	20.15
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-310-52510.000	40.30
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	100-310-52510.000	5.08
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-550-52510.000	3.67
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-550-52510.000	7.33
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	100-550-52510.000	0.93
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-601-52510.000	64.12
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	100-601-52510.000	128.24
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	100-601-52510.000	16.17
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-601-67100.000	32.70
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - GAS	100-601-67100.000	15.50
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - THE COFFEE...	100-601-67100.000	28.25
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - FOOD	100-601-67100.000	9.06
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ICSC CONFERENCE - FRONT DESK	100-601-67100.000	100.80
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	WATER FOR BREAKROOM	100-111-65100.000	59.95
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	VALLEY SAY & GARDEN -SAFETY...	100-26001	169.03
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	HD SUPPLY WHITE CAP - SAFETY...	100-26001	244.19
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	A & B FIRE EXTINGUISHER - SAF...	100-26001	240.08
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	LUNCH W/GALLARDO CONSULT...	100-101-67300.000	61.45
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	GAS - POLICY COMMITTEE MTG...	100-110-67100.000	42.54
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	LODGING - LEAGUE POLICY CO...	100-110-67100.000	494.50
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	PAPER	100-201-61400.000	34.99
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-201-61400.000	120.83
OFFICE DEPOT	299441	07/22/2016	FOLDERS/TONER	100-110-61400.000	158.12
FASTENAL COMPANY	299428	07/22/2016	VEHICLE NUTS/BOLTS	100-311-66200.000	43.73
TERRA ENGINEERING	299448	07/22/2016	SETTLEMENT BETWEEN CITY & ...	100-115-62100.000	20,000.00
GREENFIELD TRUE VALUE	299432	07/22/2016	DOG FOOD/SUPPLIES	100-230-65400.000	84.78
SPCA FOR MONTEREY COUNTY	299445	07/22/2016	SPCA ANIMAL CONTROL SERVIC...	100-230-63400.000	2,716.95
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	BILLING CHARGE	100-230-63400.000	5.00
TRI-CITIES DISPOSAL	299451	07/22/2016	CLEAN UP WEEK 5/23/16-5/28/...	100-191-64400.000	414.88
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	BILLING CHARGE	100-230-63400.000	5.00
SALINAS VALLEY SOLID WASTE ...	299443	07/22/2016	DISPOSAL SERVICE	100-191-64400.000	359.61
L+G, LLP Attorneys at Law	299435	07/22/2016	JUNE 2016	100-150-63100.000	5,000.00
L+G, LLP Attorneys at Law	299435	07/22/2016	GENERAL SERVICES EXCCEDING...	100-150-63100.000	4,508.00
L+G, LLP Attorneys at Law	299435	07/22/2016	TERRA ENGINEERINGVS.COG	100-150-63100.000	2,079.50
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	CVC CLEANING TOWELS	100-111-65600.000	20.76
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	UNIFORMS	100-310-65200.000	87.44
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	SHOP MOP/MATS	100-310-65600.000	18.50
AMERIPRIDE	299422	07/22/2016	SHOP TOWELS/MATS	100-311-66200.000	5.24
THE KRKC STATIONS	299449	07/22/2016	GREENFIELD LITTLE LEAGUE/JU...	100-110-61300.000	7.00
STATE OF CA DEPT. OF JUSTICE	299446	07/22/2016	FINGERPRINT	100-215-65400.000	228.00

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
STATE OF CA DEPT. OF JUSTICE	299446	07/22/2016	FINGERPRINT	100-230-68100.000	32.00
GARDAWORLD	299430	07/22/2016	EXCESS PREMISE TIME	100-190-63300.000	7.90
CARMEL & NACCASHA, LLP	299424	07/22/2016	PROFESSIONAL SERVICES- MEDI...	100-150-63100.000	1,073.00
STERICYCLE, INC.	299447	07/22/2016	STERI-SAFE COMPLIANCE SOLUT..	100-215-63400.000	210.70
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	JULY CALENDAR IN JUNE'S BILLS	100-551-61200.000	369.45
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	UTILITY BILLS JUNE 2016	100-551-61200.000	74.40
MONTEREY COUNTY SHERIFF'S ...	299440	07/22/2016	CJS - QUARTER 4	100-215-63400.000	6,470.74
MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATION...	299437	07/22/2016	SOUTH END ANNEXATION	100-24605	10,185.00
MICHAEL BAKER INTERNATION...	299437	07/22/2016	SOUTH END ANNEXATION	100-24605	152.28
ANTHEM - BLUE CROSS	299457	07/22/2016	AUGUST 2016	100-22320	36,464.95
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	CVC CLEANING TOWELS	100-111-65600.000	20.76
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	UNIFORMS	100-310-65200.000	89.19
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	SHOP MOP/MATS	100-310-65600.000	18.50
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	SHOP TOWELS/MATS	100-311-66200.000	5.24
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-110-52510.000	11.00
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-170-52510.000	5.50
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-190-52510.000	11.00
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-215-52510.000	11.00
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-310-52510.000	11.00
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-601-52510.000	5.50
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-111-61400.000	126.24
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-190-61400.000	56.38
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-111-61400.000	11.03
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-601-61400.000	50.73
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-111-61400.000	41.15
OFFICE DEPOT	299464	07/22/2016	OFFICE SUPPLIES	100-190-61400.000	14.43
U.S. Bank Equipment Finance	299469	07/22/2016	COPIER LEASE	100-111-61200.000	4,830.99
SONIAN, INC.	299466	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-125-63200.000	198.00
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO.	299463	07/22/2016	7331 - TIRES	100-215-66200.000	138.68
AMERICAN SUPPLY COMPANY	299455	07/22/2016	JANITORIAL SUPPLIES	100-201-65600.000	207.98
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	CVC CLEANING TOWELS	100-111-65600.000	20.76
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	UNIFORMS	100-310-65200.000	85.69
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	SHOP MOP/MATS	100-310-65600.000	18.50
AMERIPRIDE	299456	07/22/2016	SHOP TOWELS/MATS	100-311-66200.000	5.24
VISION TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIO...	299472	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-125-64900.000	255.25
RUBY ALVAREZ	299465	07/22/2016	PER-DIEM - CROSS FIT SPECIALI...	100-215-67100.000	50.00
TELCO AUTOMATION, INC.	299468	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	100-111-64500.000	1,623.00
SOUTH COUNTY NEWSPAPER	299467	07/22/2016	SUBSCRIPTION RENEWAL	100-110-67600.000	49.70
SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	100-22420	137.07
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001939	07/29/2016	Deferred Compensation Loan P...	100-22435	120.34
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001940	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-GPOA	100-22430	350.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001941	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-GPSA	100-22430	300.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	100-22430	342.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001943	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Mid Management	100-22430	25.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	100-22430	86.50
G P O A	299478	07/28/2016	GPOA DUES	100-22410	250.00
CITY OF GREENFIELD	299477	07/28/2016	Misc Withholding	100-22490	69.19
GREENFIELD POLICE SUPERVIS...	299479	07/28/2016	GPSA DUES	100-22415	150.00
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	100-22225	615.53
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	100-22215	2,009.10
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	100-22215	8,590.32
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	100-22220	2,967.09
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	100-22210	8,844.83
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001952	07/29/2016	SDI	100-22225	29.70
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001953	07/29/2016	Medicare	100-22215	95.72
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001954	07/29/2016	Social Security	100-22215	409.28
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001955	07/29/2016	State Withholding	100-22220	23.14
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001956	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	100-22210	140.57
				Fund 100 - GENERAL FUND Total:	142,363.92

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
Fund: 213 - PARKS					
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	213-22430	1.56
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	213-22225	0.22
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	213-22215	0.70
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	213-22215	3.04
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	213-22220	1.39
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	213-22210	3.94
Fund 213 - PARKS Total:					10.85
Fund: 215 - CDBG Fund					
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	MNS ENGINEERS CITY ENGINEE...	215-310-73535.435	775.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	215-22430	1.56
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	215-22225	0.22
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	215-22215	0.70
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	215-22215	3.04
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	215-22220	1.39
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	215-22210	3.94
Fund 215 - CDBG Fund Total:					785.85
Fund: 220 - Supplemental Transactions & Use Tax Fund (V & W)					
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	UNITED RENTALS - LIGHT TOWE...	220-551-63500.192	400.00
SOUTH COUNTY NEWSPAPER	299444	07/22/2016	4TH OF JULY	220-551-63500.192	200.00
SALINAS VALLEY PRO SQUAD	299442	07/22/2016	UNIFORM - MITCHELL EGGER	220-215-68100.000	-177.38
THE KRKC STATIONS	299449	07/22/2016	GREENFIELD LITTLE LEAGUE/JU...	220-551-63500.192	30.00
THE KRKC STATIONS	299449	07/22/2016	4TH OF JULY	220-551-63500.192	30.00
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	220-215-52510.000	16.50
FIRST NIGHT MONTEREY, INC.	299462	07/22/2016	JULY 4TH CELEBRATION EVENT	220-551-63500.192	3,625.00
FIRST NIGHT MONTEREY, INC.	299462	07/22/2016	JULY 4TH CELEBRATION EVENT	220-551-63500.192	210.00
FIRST NIGHT MONTEREY, INC.	299462	07/22/2016	JULY 4TH CELEBRATION EVENT	220-551-63500.192	178.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001940	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-GPOA	220-22430	250.00
DEPT OF CHILD SUPPORT SERVI...	DFT0001945	07/29/2016	Misc Withholding	220-22450	802.61
G P O A	299478	07/28/2016	GPOA DUES	220-22410	550.00
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	220-22225	293.59
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	220-22215	946.00
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	220-22215	4,044.98
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	220-22220	1,308.72
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	220-22210	3,848.62
Fund 220 - Supplemental Transactions & Use Tax Fund (V & W) Total:					16,556.64
Fund: 222 - PARK IMPACT FUND					
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	MNS ENGINEERS CITY ENGINEE...	222-905-87610.000	600.00
Fund 222 - PARK IMPACT FUND Total:					600.00
Fund: 230 - GAS TAX FUND					
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	CREMATION SERVICE	230-320-63900.000	18.00
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	CREMATION SERVICE	230-320-63900.000	18.00
KING CITY VETERINARY HOSPIT...	299434	07/22/2016	CREMATION SERVICE	230-320-63900.000	18.00
MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	MNS ENGINEERS CITY ENGINEE...	230-320-63700.000	100.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	230-320-52510.000	21.98
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	230-320-52510.000	43.97
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	230-320-52510.000	5.54
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC. 7...	299429	07/22/2016	LID/SAFETY VEST	230-320-65200.000	22.92
SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	230-22420	56.75
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	230-22430	28.52
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	230-22430	167.49
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	230-22225	56.01
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	230-22215	180.46
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	230-22215	771.82
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	230-22220	125.77
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	230-22210	535.85
Fund 230 - GAS TAX FUND Total:					2,171.08
Fund: 263 - LLM #1 - LEXINGTON					
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	263-22430	1.58

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	263-22225	0.99
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	263-22215	3.16
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	263-22215	13.60
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	263-22220	1.78
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	263-22210	5.99
Fund 263 - LLM #1 - LEXINGTON Total:					27.10

Fund: 264 - LLM #2 - TERRA VERDE, ETC

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	264-22430	1.58
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	264-22225	1.85
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	264-22215	5.96
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	264-22215	25.48
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	264-22220	2.26
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	264-22210	8.65
Fund 264 - LLM #2 - TERRA VERDE, ETC Total:					45.78

Fund: 265 - SMD #1

SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	265-22420	3.25
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	265-22430	10.50
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	265-22225	2.61
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	265-22215	8.34
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	265-22215	35.70
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	265-22220	5.80
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	265-22210	24.68
Fund 265 - SMD #1 Total:					90.88

Fund: 266 - SMD #2

SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	266-22420	3.22
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	266-22430	10.51
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	266-22225	2.56
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	266-22215	8.40
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	266-22215	35.68
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	266-22220	5.81
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	266-22210	24.70
Fund 266 - SMD #2 Total:					90.88

Fund: 291 - HOME GRANT

STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	291-22430	3.13
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	291-22225	0.44
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	291-22215	1.42
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	291-22215	6.06
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	291-22220	2.78
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	291-22210	7.89
Fund 291 - HOME GRANT Total:					21.72

Fund: 297 - GREENFIELD SCIENCE WORKSHOP

U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	WALMART - TURLE AND FISH F...	297-597-65500.000	23.85
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	WALMART - PROPANE GAS FOR...	297-597-65500.000	16.27
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	RANCHO - FOOD FOR ARROYO ...	297-597-65500.000	8.81
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FOODS CO - WATER FOR ARRO...	297-597-65500.000	7.63
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - BATTERIES FOR STOP...	297-597-65500.000	19.34
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - LAMINATING POUCC...	297-597-65500.000	14.20
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - PLANNER FOR CJW ...	297-597-65500.000	21.34
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - BALLOONS + B...	297-597-65500.000	11.65
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	PETSMART - TURTLE FOOD	297-597-65500.000	14.18
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	MOTION SENSORS FOR RASPB...	297-597-65500.000	34.86
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FOODS CO - LUNCH + WATER F...	297-597-65500.000	29.61
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	PINNACLES MEMBERSHIP	297-597-65500.000	25.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FASTRIP - ICE FOR PINNACLES T...	297-597-65500.000	12.01
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FASTRIP - ICE FOR BIG SUR TRIP	297-597-65500.000	9.49
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	EL SUPER - LUNCH FOR BIG SUR...	297-597-65500.000	8.85
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - LUNCH FOR BIG..	297-597-65500.000	13.17
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	WALMART - PUMP FOR WATER...	297-597-65500.000	10.87
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FOOD FOR DAILY SNACKS	297-597-65500.000	70.85

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - CONTROLLER FOR R...	297-597-65500.000	17.84
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FASTRIP - ICE FOR ARROYO SEC...	297-597-65500.000	12.01
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	FASTRIP - ICE FOR ARROYO SEC...	297-597-65500.000	3.82
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - USB EXTENSION CAB...	297-597-65500.000	8.72
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	ORCHARD SUPPLY - LETTUCE SE...	297-597-65500.000	3.79
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - MEMORY CARDS FO...	297-597-65500.000	34.74
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - SUPPLIES FOR TURT...	297-597-65500.000	27.79
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	AMAZON - AMPLIFIER FOR BUC...	297-597-65500.000	28.99
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SMART&FINAL - CUPS FOR WAT...	297-597-65500.000	17.65
STATE OF CA DEPT. OF JUSTICE	299446	07/22/2016	FINGERPRINT	297-597-68100.000	32.00
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	297-22225	22.00
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	297-22215	70.90
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	297-22215	303.12
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	297-22220	48.96
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	297-22210	221.80
Fund 297 - GREENFIELD SCIENCE WORKSHOP Total:					1,206.11

Fund: 390 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND

MNS ENGINEERS, INC.	299438	07/22/2016	MNS ENGINEERS CITY ENGINEE...	390-901-89620.000	3,425.00
Fund 390 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND Total:					3,425.00

Fund: 503 - SEWER FUND

JEREMY PURA ELECTRICAL	299433	07/22/2016	WWTP AUGER SERVICE	503-335-63800.000	300.00
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	P.PARK - TAPE/ADAPTER	503-333-65700.000	5.57
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	PROP 218 NOTICE - TRANSLATI...	503-191-63900.000	147.50
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	503-330-52510.000	9.24
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	503-330-52510.000	36.64
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	503-330-52510.000	73.28
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	COLLECTION SYSTEM MAINTEN...	503-335-67400.000	86.00
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	WASTEWATER TREATMENT CO...	503-335-67400.000	116.68
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CWEA MEMBERSHIP - FELIX	503-335-68300.000	164.00
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	LIFTSTATION FLANGE/WELL 7 S...	503-335-66400.000	29.71
TYLER TECHNOLOGIES	299452	07/22/2016	INSITE TRANSACTION FEES	503-191-63300.000	690.63
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	UTILITY BILLS JUNE 2016	503-191-61200.000	180.98
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	UTILITY BILLS JUNE 2016	503-191-63800.000	180.99
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	ANNUAL FEE	503-705-63300.000	5,712.68
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	PRINCIPAL	503-705-91210.000	65,339.43
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	INTEREST	503-705-91310.000	29,991.56
VEGETABLE GROWERS SUPPLY	299471	07/22/2016	CLEANING GLOVES	503-335-65200.000	63.23
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	503-191-52510.000	2.75
SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	503-22420	123.97
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	503-22430	47.54
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001943	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Mid Management	503-22430	33.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	503-22430	133.02
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	503-22225	101.09
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	503-22215	325.74
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	503-22215	1,392.78
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	503-22220	237.70
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	503-22210	1,024.63
Fund 503 - SEWER FUND Total:					106,550.34

Fund: 504 - WATER FUND

JEREMY PURA ELECTRICAL	299433	07/22/2016	SERVICE TO BOOSTER PUMP	504-345-63800.000	450.00
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	BRASS VALVE, PIPE, NIPPLE	504-345-65700.000	60.34
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	P. PARK - BRASS VALVE	504-345-65700.000	26.56
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	PROP 218 NOTICE - TRANSLATI...	504-191-63900.000	147.50
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	SILVERSCRIPT	504-340-52510.000	9.24
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	504-340-52510.000	73.28
U.S. BANK	299473	07/27/2016	CMS MEDICARE SERIVCE	504-340-52510.000	36.64
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC. 7...	299429	07/22/2016	WATER SERVICE	504-345-65700.000	172.05
GREEN RUBBER-KENNEDY AG	299431	07/22/2016	LIFTSTATION FLANGE/WELL 7 S...	504-345-65700.000	47.42
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC. 7...	299429	07/22/2016	LID/SAFETY VEST	504-345-65700.000	72.02

Expense Approval Report

Payment Dates: 07/22/2016 - 08/04/2016

Vendor Name	Payment Number	Payment Date	Description (Item)	Account Number	Amount
FERGUSON ENTERPRISES INC. 7...	299429	07/22/2016	PIPE	504-345-65700.000	-107.98
TYLER TECHNOLOGIES	299452	07/22/2016	INSITE TRANSACTION FEES	504-191-63300.000	690.62
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	UTILITY BILLS JUNE 2016	504-191-61200.000	180.99
CASEY PRINTING	299425	07/22/2016	UTILITY BILLS JUNE 2016	504-191-63800.000	180.99
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	ANNUAL FEE	504-705-63300.000	8,265.09
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	PRINCIPAL	504-705-91210.000	94,532.94
CALIFORNIA INFRASTRUCTURE ...	299459	07/22/2016	INTEREST	504-705-91310.000	43,391.72
BEN-E-LECT	299458	07/22/2016	JULY 2016	504-191-52510.000	2.75
GOODYEAR TIRE & RUBBER CO.	299463	07/22/2016	7912 - TIRES	504-340-66200.000	352.08
SEIU 521	299480	07/28/2016	Union Dues	504-22420	58.61
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001942	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Management	504-22430	47.53
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001943	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Mid Management	504-22430	17.00
STATE STREET BANK & TRUST C...	DFT0001944	07/29/2016	Defer Comp-Misc Employees	504-22430	61.98
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001946	07/29/2016	SDI	504-22225	54.32
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001947	07/29/2016	Medicare	504-22215	175.10
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001948	07/29/2016	Social Security	504-22215	748.62
STATE OF CALIFORNIA EDD	DFT0001949	07/29/2016	State Withholding	504-22220	118.07
Internal Revenue Service	DFT0001950	07/29/2016	Federal Tax Withholding	504-22210	533.56
				Fund 504 - WATER FUND Total:	150,399.04
Fund: 705 - SUCCESSOR ADMINISTRATIVE FUND					
UNION BANK, N.A.	299470	07/22/2016	TAX ALLOCATION REF BDS SERI...	705-820-95756.000	311,836.62
				Fund 705 - SUCCESSOR ADMINISTRATIVE FUND Total:	311,836.62
Fund: 756 - Successor Agency 2016 Bond Debt Service Fund					
UNION BANK, N.A.	299470	07/22/2016	TAX ALLOCATION REF BDS SERI...	756-49570.000	-311,836.62
UNION BANK, N.A.	299470	07/22/2016	TAX ALLOCATION REF BDS SERI...	756-820-91210.000	311,836.62
				Fund 756 - Successor Agency 2016 Bond Debt Service Fund Total:	0.00
				Grand Total:	736,181.81

Report Summary

Fund Summary

Fund	Payment Amount
100 - GENERAL FUND	142,363.92
213 - PARKS	10.85
215 - CDBG Fund	785.85
220 - Supplemental Transactions & Use Tax Fund (V & W)	16,556.64
222 - PARK IMPACT FUND	600.00
230 - GAS TAX FUND	2,171.08
263 - LLM #1 - LEXINGTON	27.10
264 - LLM #2 - TERRA VERDE, ETC	45.78
265 - SMD #1	90.88
266 - SMD #2	90.88
291 - HOME GRANT	21.72
297 - GREENFIELD SCIENCE WORKSHOP	1,206.11
390 - CAPITAL PROJECTS FUND	3,425.00
503 - SEWER FUND	106,550.34
504 - WATER FUND	150,399.04
705 - SUCCESSOR ADMINISTRATIVE FUND	311,836.62
756 - Successor Agency 2016 Bond Debt Service Fund	0.00
Grand Total:	736,181.81

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
100-101-65100.000	General Operating Suppli...	45.86
100-101-67100.000	Meetings & Conferences	115.04
100-101-67100.111	Meetings & Conference -...	59.75
100-101-67300.000	Other Meals & Travel	61.45
100-101-67300.111	Other Meals & Travel - M...	30.00
100-110-52510.000	Health Insurance	11.00
100-110-61300.000	Advertising	7.00
100-110-61400.000	Office Supplies	158.12
100-110-67100.000	Meetings & Conferences	574.59
100-110-67600.000	Publications	49.70
100-111-61200.000	Printing and Copying	4,830.99
100-111-61400.000	Office Supplies	209.39
100-111-64500.000	Phone Charges	1,623.00
100-111-65100.000	General Operating Suppli...	123.86
100-111-65600.000	Janitorial Supplies	83.04
100-115-62100.000	Insurance	20,000.00
100-125-63200.000	Technology Services	577.00
100-125-64900.000	Other Utilities	255.25
100-150-63100.000	Administration Services	12,660.50
100-170-52510.000	Health Insurance	5.50
100-170-61400.000	Office Supplies	24.70
100-190-52510.000	Health Insurance	11.00
100-190-61400.000	Office Supplies	-21.18
100-190-63300.000	Financial Services	7.90
100-191-64400.000	Waste Disposal	774.49
100-201-61400.000	Office Supplies	196.81
100-201-65200.000	Uniforms/Personnel Equi...	231.78
100-201-65600.000	Janitorial Supplies	282.65
100-215-52510.000	Health Insurance	11.00
100-215-63400.000	Police Services	6,681.44
100-215-64500.000	Phone Charges	497.00
100-215-65100.000	General Operating Suppli...	589.25
100-215-65200.000	Uniforms/Personnel Equi...	14.00
100-215-65400.000	Police Supplies	228.00
100-215-66200.000	Vehicle Maintenance	138.68
100-215-67100.000	Meetings and Conferences	125.00

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
100-215-67200.000	Other Training	284.12
100-215-71400.000	Police Equipment	243.13
100-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	8,985.40
100-22215	FICA Payable	11,104.42
100-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	2,990.23
100-22225	S.D.I. Payable	645.23
100-22320	Medical Benefits Payable	36,464.95
100-22410	G.P.O.A. Union Dues Paya...	250.00
100-22415	G.P.S.A. Union Dues Payab..	150.00
100-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	137.07
100-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	1,103.50
100-22435	PERS Loan Payable	120.34
100-22490	Miscellaneous Withholding	69.19
100-230-63400.000	Animal Control Services	6,796.17
100-230-65400.000	Police Supplies	342.76
100-230-68100.000	Recruitment	32.00
100-24605	EIR Deposit - Scheid Viney...	10,337.28
100-24696	The Vines Development	2,312.35
100-26001	Suspense Account	1,303.38
100-310-52510.000	Health Insurance	76.53
100-310-61400.000	Office Supplies	72.97
100-310-65200.000	Uniforms/Personnel Equi...	360.27
100-310-65600.000	Janitorial Supplies	74.00
100-311-66200.000	Vehicle Maintenance	64.69
100-550-52510.000	Health Insurance	11.93
100-550-65700.000	Public Works Supplies	38.53
100-551-61200.000	Printing and Copying	443.85
100-601-52510.000	Health Insurance	214.03
100-601-61400.000	Office Supplies	50.73
100-601-63600.000	Community Development...	5,825.00
100-601-67100.000	Meetings & Conferences	186.31
213-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	3.94
213-22215	FICA Payable	3.74
213-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	1.39
213-22225	S.D.I. Payable	0.22
213-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	1.56
215-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	3.94
215-22215	FICA Payable	3.74
215-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	1.39
215-22225	S.D.I. Payable	0.22
215-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	1.56
215-310-73535.435	CDBG Planning Studies	775.00
220-215-52510.000	Health Insurance	16.50
220-215-68100.000	Recruitment	-177.38
220-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	3,848.62
220-22215	FICA Payable	4,990.98
220-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	1,308.72
220-22225	S.D.I. Payable	293.59
220-22410	G.P.O.A. Union Dues Paya...	550.00
220-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	250.00
220-22450	Wage Garnishments Paya...	802.61
220-551-63500.192	Recreation Services	4,673.00
222-905-87610.000	Patnot Park Restroom Upg...	600.00
230-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	535.85
230-22215	FICA Payable	952.28
230-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	125.77
230-22225	S.D.I. Payable	56.01
230-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	56.75

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
230-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	196.01
230-320-52510.000	Health Insurance	71.49
230-320-63700.000	Public Works Services	100.00
230-320-63900.000	General Services	54.00
230-320-65200.000	Uniforms / Personnel Equ...	22.92
263-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	5.99
263-22215	FICA Payable	16.76
263-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	1.78
263-22225	S.D.I. Payable	0.99
263-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	1.58
264-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	8.65
264-22215	FICA Payable	31.44
264-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	2.26
264-22225	S.D.I. Payable	1.85
264-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	1.58
265-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	24.68
265-22215	FICA Payable	44.04
265-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	5.80
265-22225	S.D.I. Payable	2.61
265-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	3.25
265-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	10.50
266-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	24.70
266-22215	FICA Payable	44.08
266-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	5.81
266-22225	S.D.I. Payable	2.56
266-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	3.22
266-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	10.51
291-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	7.89
291-22215	FICA Payable	7.48
291-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	2.78
291-22225	S.D.I. Payable	0.44
291-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	3.13
297-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	221.80
297-22215	FICA Payable	374.02
297-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	48.96
297-22225	S.D.I. Payable	22.00
297-597-65500.000	Recreation Supplies	507.33
297-597-68100.000	Recruitment	32.00
390-901-89620.000	Field of Greens Solar Ener...	3,425.00
503-191-52510.000	Health Insurance	2.75
503-191-61200.000	Printing and Copying	180.98
503-191-63300.000	Utility Billing Financial Ser...	690.63
503-191-63800.000	Utility Bill Services	180.99
503-191-63900.000	General Services	147.50
503-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	1,024.63
503-22215	FICA Payable	1,718.52
503-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	237.70
503-22225	S.D.I. Payable	101.09
503-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	123.97
503-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	213.56
503-330-52510.000	Health Insurance	119.16
503-333-65700.000	Public Works Supplies	5.57
503-335-63800.000	Sewer Treatment Services	300.00
503-335-65200.000	Uniforms / Personnel Equ...	63.23
503-335-66400.000	Sewer Operations Eq Ma...	29.71
503-335-67400.000	Certifications	202.68
503-335-68300.000	Memberships	164.00
503-705-63300.000	Financial Services - Loan F...	5,712.68

Account Summary

Account Number	Account Name	Payment Amount
503-705-91210.000	Principal	65,339.43
503-705-91310.000	Interest Expense	29,991.56
504-191-52510.000	Health Insurance	2.75
504-191-61200.000	Printing and Copying	180.99
504-191-63300.000	Utility Billing Financial Ser...	690.62
504-191-63800.000	Utility Bill Services	180.99
504-191-63900.000	General Services	147.50
504-22210	Federal Withholding Tax ...	533.56
504-22215	FICA Payable	923.72
504-22220	State Withholding Tax Pa...	118.07
504-22225	S.D.I. Payable	54.32
504-22420	S.E.I.U. Union Dues Payab...	58.61
504-22430	Deferred Comp Payable	126.51
504-340-52510.000	Health Insurance	119.16
504-340-66200.000	Vehicle Maintenance	352.08
504-345-63800.000	Water Production Services	450.00
504-345-65700.000	Water Production Supplies	270.41
504-705-63300.000	Financial Services - Loan F...	8,265.09
504-705-91210.000	Principal	94,532.94
504-705-91310.000	Interest Expense	43,391.72
705-820-95756.000	Transfer to Successor Age...	311,836.62
756-49570.000	Transfer from Successor A...	-311,836.62
756-820-91210.000	Principal	311,836.62
	Grand Total:	736,181.81

Project Account Summary

Project Account Key	Payment Amount
None	736,181.81
	Grand Total:
	736,181.81

CITY COUNCIL MINUTES

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JULY 26, 2016

CALL TO ORDER

Mayor Huerta called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m.

ROLL CALL

PRESENT: Mayor Huerta, Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, Councilmember Walker and Torres
Councilmember Santibañez arrived at 6:03 p.m.

ABSENT: None

STAFF: City Manager Stanton, Community Services Director Steinmann, Administrative Services Director Corgill, Chief Fresé, City Attorney Bakker, City Clerk Rathbun

GUESTS: Beatriz Diaz, Yanely Martinez, Doug Halley, Katy Castagna, Tina Engquist, Matthew Robinson, Jessica Bautista, Bob Ferreira, Irene Garcia, Salvador Martinez, David McPherson, Joey Espinoza, Sal Palma, Gabriel Trujillo, Yrma Rendon, Stephanie Garcia, Jose Aguirre, Rachel Ortiz

INVOCATION

There was a moment of silent prayer.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All recited the Pledge of Allegiance.

PUBLIC COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE REGARDING ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA

Bob Ferreira stated that there was no justification for the utility rate increase.

Beatriz Diaz stated that she had concerns regarding the petition for the recall for the Mayor.

Mr. Sanchez stated that he didn't have a water meter and that he lived in the trailer park on El Camino Real and that he was paying more water than a four bedroom home.

Yanely Martinez explained the AmeriCorps program and stated that two people from Greenfield were recognized at the ceremony and Mayor Huerta was acknowledged for his support to the program and was proud to have him as the Mayor of Greenfield.

ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

Meeting adjourned to closed session at 6:10 p.m.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE APPOINTMENT TITLE: INTERIM CITY ATTORNEY

**CONFERENCE WITH LABOR NEGOTIATORS
AGENCY DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVES: SUSAN STANTON, CITY MANAGER
UNREPRESENTED EMPLOYEE: INTERIM CITY ATTORNEY**

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

Meeting reconvened to open session at 6:16 p.m.

City Manager Stanton reported that the City Council met in closed session and a decision was made and formal action would be taking place in open session.

CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS

**ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD
APPROVING AN AGREEMENT WITH MEYERS, NAVE FOR INTERIM CITY ATTORNEY
SERVICES
RESOLUTION #2016-63**

Staff Report was given by City Manager Stanton.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Torres to adopt **Resolution #2016-63, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving an Agreement with Meyers, Nave for Interim City Attorney Services"**. All in favor. Motion carried.

CONSENT CALENDAR

A MOTION by Councilmember Walker, seconded by Councilmember Torres to approve the consent calendar including City of Greenfield Warrants #299294 through #299359 and Bank Drafts #1924 through #1935 in the amount of \$154,282.44 and approval of the Minutes of the July 12, 2016 City Council Meeting. All in favor. Motion carried.

MAYOR'S PRESENTATIONS, PROCLAMATIONS, COMMUNICATIONS, RESOLUTIONS

**PRESENTATION BY RON RYGG, 2-1-1 DIRECTOR AND KATY CASTAGNA, PRESIDENT
& CEO OF THE UNITED WAY**

Katy Castagna gave a power point presentation regarding the 2-1-1 program and the United Way.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SEWER USER FEES RESOLUTION #2016-64

Staff Report was given by City Manager Stanton.

Mark Hawksley, from Hawksley Consulting Company, gave a power point presentation regarding the Prop 218 process and the rate study process for the adjustments to the sewer and water user fees.

Mayor Huerta opened the public hearing at 7:09 p.m.

No comments were received.

Mayor Huerta closed the public hearing at 7:10 p.m.

City Clerk Rathbun reported that there was one opposition submitted to the rate increase. City Attorney Bakker stated that the City Council could proceed because there was not a majority of the people in opposition.

A MOTION by Councilmember Santibañez, seconded by Councilmember Walker to adopt **Resolution #2016-64, "A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving Adjustments to the Sewer User Fees"**. All in favor. Motion carried.

ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING ADJUSTMENTS TO THE WATER USER FEES RESOLUTION #2016-65

Staff Report was given by City Manager Stanton.

Mayor Huerta opened the public hearing at 7:13 p.m.

Irene Garcia stated that she was against the water user fee increase and it should not happen at this time.

Bob Ferreira asked about the infrastructure for the water and sewer and asked how the City was going to spend the money collected.

Beatriz Diaz asked about the rate structure and stated that it should be based on usage and it shouldn't be the same for a residence of one and six.

Salvador Martinez asked about the increase of the water and stated that the water rates increases were happening every year.

Mayor Huerta closed the public hearing at 7:21 p.m.

City Clerk Rathbun reported that there was one opposition submitted to the rate increase. City Attorney Bakker stated that the City Council could proceed because there was not a majority of the people in opposition.

A MOTION by Councilmember Santibañez, seconded by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez to adopt **Resolution #2016-65, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving Adjustments to the Water User Fees”**. All in favor. Motion carried.

**ADOPT AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD
ADDING CHAPTER 5.10 “CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX” TO TITLE 5, BUSINESS TAXES,
LICENSES AND REGULATIONS OF THE GREENFIELD MUNICIPAL CODE
ORDINANCE #218**

Staff Report was given by City Manager Stanton.

David McPherson, HdL Company, explained the tax and the increases that were proposed. He also stated that the City Council had full authority regarding increases or decreases of the fees.

Mayor Huerta opened the public hearing at 7:38 p.m.

Joey Espinoza suggested that the fee be less in order to be more competitive and attractive and stated that he appreciated everything that was happening in Greenfield with this industry.

Bob Ferreira stated the City Council could use the money from this tax for the water and sewer instead of having utility rate increases.

Sal Palma suggested that the Council and staff move forward on the two permits that were previously approved and allow them to proceed with their businesses.

Mayor Huerta closed the public hearing at 7:44 p.m.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Torres to adopt **Ordinance #218, “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City Council Adding Chapter 5.10 ‘Cannabis Business Tax’ to Title 5, Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations of the Greenfield Municipal Code”**. AYES: Mayor Huerta, Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, Councilmember Torres and Santibañez. NOES: Councilmember Walker. Motion carried.

CITY COUNCIL BUSINESS – CONTINUED

**ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD SUBMITTING TO THE QUALIFIED ELECTORS OF THE CITY AT THE GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 8, 2016, AN ORDINANCE ADDING CHAPTER 5.10 -CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX TO TITLE 5- BUSINESS TAXES, LICENSES AND REGULATIONS OF THE CITY CODE TO IMPLEMENT AN ANNUAL TAX ON MEDICAL AND NONMEDICAL MARIJUANA WHERE LEGALIZED BY STATE LAW ON CULTIVATION, BASED ON SQUARE FOOTAGE, AND AN ANNUAL TAX ON MEDICAL AND NONMEDICAL MARIJUANA WHERE LEGALIZED BY STATE LAW ON DISPENSARIES, DELIVERIES, DISTRIBUTION, MANUFACTURING, TESTING LABS AND TRANSPORTATION, BASED ON GROSS RECEIPTS, FOR THE PURPOSE OF RAISING REVENUE TO FUND GENERAL MUNICIPAL SERVICES, AND PROVIDING STAFF WITH DIRECTION RELATED THERETO
RESOLUTION #2016-66**

Staff Report was given by City Manager Stanton.

Stephanie Garcia asked about the permits that were already passed. City Attorney Bakker stated that if the measure was passed in November that the two permits would be subject to this tax.

Bob Ferreira thanked Councilmember Walker for sticking up for the public and stated that he didn't know how this was passed.

Matthew Robinson stated that he was a property owner and was hoping that this process would be completed in order to get his project completed and stated that his business would bring revenue for the City.

Yrma Rendon stated that she was proud to be a resident of Greenfield and this would be a good thing for the City.

Beatriz Diaz stated that she wanted to remind everyone that the decision on the marijuana issue was voted upon by the Council and the vote was 4 to 1.

A resident stated that the City was moving too fast and was against it.

Luis stated that he was against as well and that the community was backing up Councilmember Walker on this subject.

Irene Garcia stated that she was in favor of the medical marijuana industry.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Torres to adopt **Resolution #2016-66, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Submitting to the Qualified Electors of the City at the General Municipal Election To Be Held on Tuesday, November 8, 2016, An Ordinance Adding Chapter 5.10 - Cannabis Business Tax to Title 5 - Business Taxes, Licenses and Regulations of the City Code to Implement an Annual Tax on Medical and Nonmedical Marijuana Where Legalized by State Law on Cultivation, Based on Square Footage, and an Annual Tax on Medical and Nonmedical Marijuana Where Legalized by State Law on Dispensaries, Deliveries, Distribution, Manufacturing, Testing Labs and Transportation, Based on Gross Receipts, for The Purpose of Raising Revenue to Fund General Municipal Services, and Providing Staff with Direction Related Thereto”**. AYES: Mayor Huerta, Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, Councilmember Torres and Santibañez. NOES: Councilmember Walker. Motion carried.

ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING THE TAX SHARING FORMULA BETWEEN THE COUNTY OF MONTEREY AND THE CITY OF GREENFIELD FOR THE PROPOSED “SOUTH END ANNEXATION” INVOLVING ANNEXATION OF 290+- ACRES TO THE CITY OF GREENFIELD (APNS 221-011-017, -018, -041, -045, -068, -070, AND -071) RESOLUTION #2016-67

Staff report was given by Community Services Director Steinmann.

A MOTION by Councilmember Walker, seconded by Councilmember Santibañez to adopt **Resolution #2016-67, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving the Tax Sharing Formula Between the County of Monterey and the City of Greenfield for the Proposed “South End Annexation” Involving Annexation of 290+- Acres to the City of Greenfield (APNs 221-011-017, -018, -041, -045, -068, -070, and -071)”**. All in favor. Motion carried.

ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING A CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROPOSITION 84 COMMUNITY PARK WITH STOCKBRIDGE GENERAL CONTRACTING, INC., FOR ALTERNATE BID ITEMS 1 (AMPHITHEATER SHADE STRUCTURE), 2 (PINNACLES ROCK CLIMB), AND 8 (CONCRETE TENNIS AND BASKETBALL COURT), INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$2,045,016 RESOLUTION #2016-68

Staff report was given by Community Services Director Steinmann.

A MOTION by Councilmember Santibañez, seconded by Councilmember Walker to adopt **Resolution #2016-68, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving a Contract Change Order for Construction of the Proposition 84 Community Park with Stockbridge General Contracting, Inc., for Alternate Bid Items 1 (Amphitheater Shade Structure), 2 (Pinnacles Rock Climb), and 8 (Concrete Tennis and Basketball Court), Increasing the Construction Contract to the Total Amount of \$2,045,016”**. All in favor. Motion carried.

**ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD AUTHORIZING THE ADDITIONAL WORK DESCRIBED AS ALTERNATE BID ITEM NO. 17 – ASPHALT CONCRETE LEVELING COURSE (3/8” MAX. AGGREGATE), INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT AMOUNT WITH AMERICAN PAVEMENT SYSTEMS, INC., TO THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$946,840
RESOLUTION #2016-69**

Staff report was given by Community Services Director Steinmann.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Torres to adopt **Resolution #2016-69, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Authorizing the Additional Work Described as Alternate Bid Item No. 17 – Asphalt Concrete Leveling Course (3/8” Max. Aggregate), Increasing the Construction Contract Amount with American Pavement Systems, Inc., to the Total Amount of \$946,840”**. All in favor. Motion carried.

**ADOPT A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING A CONTRACT CHANGE ORDER FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE WASTEWATER TREATMENT SURFACE AERATOR ADDITION PROJECT WITH CUSHMAN CONTRACTING CORPORATION FOR AN INCREASE IN THE SIZE AND QUANTITY OF THE FIBERGLASS REINFORCED PLASTIC SLIDE GATES, INCREASING THE CONSTRUCTION CONTRACT TO THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF \$1,901,143.50
RESOLUTION #2016-70**

Staff report was given by Community Services Director Steinmann.

A MOTION by Councilmember Walker, seconded by Councilmember Santibañez to adopt **Resolution #2016-70, “A Resolution of the City Council of the City of Greenfield Approving a Contract Change Order for Construction of the Wastewater Treatment Surface Aerator Addition Project With Cushman Contracting Corporation for an Increase in the Size and Quantity of the Fiberglass Reinforced Plastic Slide Gates, Increasing the Construction Contract to the Total Amount of \$1,901,143.50”**. All in favor. Motion carried.

APPROVE THE PROPOSED CITY MANAGER COMMUNICATION GUIDELINES AND INCORPORATE THE GUIDELINES IN THE CITY COUNCIL RULES OF PROTOCOL

Staff report was given by City Manager Stanton.

Councilmember Santibanez suggested some minor changes in the guidelines.

Yrma Rendon asked why this was being done now and not when the City Manager arrived. City Manager Stanton agreed that it should have been done sooner.

City Council concurred with the changes and the amendments were as follows:

#1 The City Manager has the responsibility to manage the affairs of the City and, as such, shall be included in all communications between Staff and **any** elected officials.

#8. The City Manager and Staff are expected to provide their best professional recommendations on issues, alternatives to recommendations as appropriate, and **provide clear, straight forward and concise explanations of the pros and cons** for the recommendations and alternatives.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Walker to approve the City Manager Communication Guidelines and Incorporate the Guidelines in the City Council Rules of Protocol, **as amended**. All in favor. Motion carried.

DISCUSSION REGARDING THE PERFORMANCE OF MAYOR HUERTA

Report was given by Councilmember Santibanez and Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez. He stated that the Council needed to do this investigation in order to move forward in all fairness to both the Council and the Mayor.

Councilmember Torres stated that she was not in favor of the investigation because she did not know what was going on and asked if this came from the Council or community members. Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez stated that if any Councilmember placed an item on the agenda then the other Councilmembers should trust that the item came from that Councilmember. Councilmember Walker stated that he agreed to go ahead with the investigation.

Mayor Huerta stated that he was asked by Council to step in and work on the projects and that was what he was doing. He also stated that the item on the agenda was not a disciplinary action it was disapproval of the Mayor's actions.

Councilmember Torres asked about the cost of the investigation. City Manager Stanton stated that the City conducted an investigation last year and it was about \$12,000.

Yanely Martinez stated that she was disgusted with the Council and asked that the Council not do the investigation and expressed her support of the Mayor.

Beatriz Diaz stated that she supported the Mayor and if an investigation was going to happen then the whole Council should be investigated.

Jose Aguirre stated that it was tough for him to see the Council at odds and stated that Council needed to work together.

Rachel Ortiz stated that with the recall attempt going on the Mayor should welcome the investigation to clear his name and if the Council thought there was something there then it should be done.

Yrma Rendon stated that she was disgusted with everything that was happening and if an investigation was going to take place then do it to all the Council and the City Manager.

Irene Garcia stated that she supported Mayor Huerta and stated that the people getting signatures for the recall were telling lies and that was why they were getting signatures.

Jessica Bautista stated that investigations and audits were sometimes a good thing. She also stated that the Mayor and Council serve at the pleasure of the public and that the public should be aware of the allegations.

Mayor Huerta stated that when meeting with the medical cannabis owners or operators he was always professional. He stated that he welcomed the investigation.

A MOTION by Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, seconded by Councilmember Walker to do an investigation on Mayor John Huerta. AYES: Mayor Huerta, Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez, Councilmember Walker and Santibanez. NOES: Councilmember Torres. Motion carried.

ADJOURN TO CLOSED SESSION

Meeting adjourned to closed session at 9:29 p.m.

PUBLIC EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

TITLE: CITY MANAGER

CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL—ANTICIPATED

LITIGATION - SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO LITIGATION PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH (2) OR (3) OF SUBDIVISION (D) OF SECTION 54956.9: 1 CASE

RECONVENE TO OPEN SESSION

Meeting reconvened to open session at 10:18 p.m.

City Attorney Bakker stated that there was no reportable action.

BRIEF REPORTS ON CONFERENCES, SEMINARS, AND MEETINGS ATTENDED BY MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL

Councilmember Torres stated that Dave Mesa from Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority had resigned; she shared the results of the survey completed by Tri-Cities and they had 100% satisfaction in customer service; and that the Jolon site would be acquired soon.

COMMENTS FROM CITY COUNCIL

Councilmember Torres congratulated Ms. Yanelly Martinez for her work with the AmeriCorps project.

Councilmember Walker stated that politics need to stay out of the City government. He stated that everyone had the right and privilege to vote.

Mayor Pro-tem Rodriguez stated that he would like to suggest that the meetings for the VW Committee be structured in a manner that would be worthwhile for the people attending and that the Committee's recommendations be considered when the Council made decisions regarding those funds.

CITY MANAGER REPORT

City Manager Stanton stated that it would be necessary for the Council to meet in August to finalize the selection process for the medical marijuana permits and an August recess would probably not be possible. She also stated that in late August the four south county cities would need to meet regarding the electrical power item. She stated that she would be meeting with the two applications for medical marijuana facilities regarding their development agreements.

ADJOURNMENT

Mayor Huerta adjourned the meeting at 10:34

Mayor of the City of Greenfield

City Clerk of the City of Greenfield



City Council Memorandum

599 El Camino Real Greenfield CA 93937 831-674-5591
www.ci.greenfield.ca.us

MEMORANDUM: August 5, 2016

AGENDA DATE: August 9, 2016

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Susan A. Stanton, ICMA-CM
City Manager

TITLE: **APPROVING RESOLUTION NO. 2116-71 - WRITTEN
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX**

BACKGROUND:

On July 26, 2016, the City Council approved Resolution #2016-66 to submit to the qualified electors of the City an ordinance adding a Cannabis Business Tax on cultivation, dispensaries, deliveries, distribution, manufacturing, nurseries, testing, and transportation of medical and nonmedical marijuana where legalized by state law. The proposed ordinance provided that the cultivation tax will not exceed (\$25.00) per square foot; the tax be adjusted by a CPI annually and that all other cannabis activities be taxed at a rate not to exceed (10%); generating an estimated \$2 million annually with no termination date.

As provided by law, written arguments in favor or opposed to the measure may be prepared by the City Council, any member or members of the City Council so authorized by Council action, any individual voter who is eligible to vote on the measure, and bona fide association of citizens, or any combination of voters and associations.

All arguments must be submitted to the City Clerk by 12:00 p.m. on August 18, 2016. No argument may exceed three hundred (300) words in length. A ballot argument may not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name and signature or printed names and signatures of the author or authors submitting it, or if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of the principal officers who is the author of the argument.

No more than five signatures shall appear on any argument. If more than one argument is submitted for or against a measure, the City Clerk shall select the argument for printing and

distribution in accordance with the provisions of Elections Code Section 9287. Pursuant to Section 9285 of the California Elections Code, when the City Clerk has selected the argument for and against the measure, which will be printed and distributed to the voters, the City Clerk will send copies of the argument in favor of the measure to the authors of the argument against, and copies of the argument against to the authors of the argument in favor. Rebuttal arguments may thereafter be prepared in a length not exceeding two hundred and fifty (250) words, and shall be filed with the City Clerk by no later than 12:00 p.m. on August 25, 2016. Rebuttal arguments shall be printed in the same manner as the direct arguments. Each rebuttal argument shall immediately follow the direct argument, which it seeks to rebut.

The proposed argument on behalf of the City Council is as follows:

Your City Council urges you to vote YES on Measure _____. The measure will establish a local tax on any medical and non-medical marijuana businesses in the City. Approval of the tax measure will not legalize any products or businesses. Such businesses must also be permitted by the City and legalized by State law. This measure will simply impose a tax on any marijuana businesses that are allowed in the City of Greenfield under State and local laws. Creating this tax will ensure that the businesses that are being regulated in the community will contribute to the City's tax base and pay their fair share to the City of Greenfield. Voting no will not ban them, but will merely allow them to exist without paying this tax.

All funds from the tax will go to the City to be used to help fund essential City services and expenses, such as to improve the Police and Fire service to our residents, repair streets, sidewalks, beautify our downtown, and neighborhoods. This tax is part of an overall long-range plan the City has developed to improve the quality of life in the community and establish financial stability.

The proposed tax is equitable, will generate significant revenue, and attract businesses that will create additional jobs in the City of Greenfield without having to compete with surrounding jurisdictions for these businesses. The tax will fund projects and services for our community without increasing taxes and various other fees for our citizens. Therefore, Measure _____ will enrich the quality of life for the City of Greenfield citizens and our community. Please help make the City of Greenfield a better place to live for everyone in our City by voting YES on November 8th.

REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED:

The proposed ballot simply asks the voters if they want to approve a business tax on this industry to generate additional revenue to pay for the cost of city government. If the proposed business tax is not approved, the City would/could still collect the existing fees and taxes on a cannabis business in the same way it does any other business in town. In this respect it is imperative that there be no confusion what question is, and is not, being asked of voters to approve, or not approve, namely the imposition of a tax on cannabis products

POTENTIAL MOTION:

I MOVE TO APPROVE/DENY RESOLUTION NO. 2016-71, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD ADOPTING WRITTEN ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016 MEASURE TO APPROVE A CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX

**CITY OF GREENFIELD
RESOLUTION NO. 2016-71**

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD
ADOPTING WRITTEN ARGUMENTS IN FAVOR OF THE NOVEMBER 8, 2016
MEASURE TO APPROVE A CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX**

WHEREAS, at its regular meeting on July 26, 2016, the City Council called a municipal election to ask the voters of the City to approve a proposed Cannabis Business Tax to be used for general governmental purposes for the City and not for specific purposes; and,

WHEREAS, the Cannabis Business Tax would not itself permit any cannabis businesses in the City, but if the businesses were otherwise approved, would impose a tax on the privilege of cultivating, dispensing, producing, processing, preparing, storing, providing, donating, selling, or distributing medical cannabis or medical cannabis products by commercial cannabis businesses in the City, pursuant to the state Medical Cannabis Regulation and Safety Act, formerly known as the Medical Marijuana Regulation Safety Act specifically California Business and Professions Code section 19348;

WHEREAS, the Cannabis Business Tax would impose a tax on the privilege of cultivating, manufacturing, producing, processing, preparing, storing, providing, donating, selling, or distributing nonmedical marijuana and marijuana products and accessories by commercial cannabis businesses in the City if the “California Control, Regulate and Tax Adult Use of Marijuana Initiative” is approved by California voters in the November 2016 election, or if nonmedical marijuana activity otherwise becomes legal in the State of California;

WHEREAS, “Commercial cannabis business” means any commercial business activity relating to cannabis, including but not limited to cultivating, transporting, distributing, manufacturing, compounding, converting, processing, preparing, storing, packaging, delivering, and selling (wholesale and/or retail sales) of cannabis and any ancillary products and accessories in the City, whether or not carried on for gain or profit;

WHEREAS, every person who is engaged in commercial cannabis cultivation in the City shall pay an annual cannabis business tax. The initial tax rate effective January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2020 for commercial cannabis cultivation, excluding nurseries, shall be set at fifteen dollars (\$15.00) per fiscal year, per square foot of canopy space authorized by each City permit, or by each state license in the absence of a City permit, not deducting for unutilized square footage. The square footage shall be the maximum square footage of canopy allowed by the City permit for commercial cannabis cultivation, or, in the absence of a City permit, the square footage shall be the maximum square footage of canopy for commercial cannabis cultivation allowed by the state license type. In no case shall canopy square footage which is authorized by the permit or license but not utilized for cultivation be deducted for the purpose of determining the tax for cultivation unless duly authorized in writing by the City Manager.

WHEREAS, every person who is engaged in cultivation of cannabis as a nursery as in the City shall pay an annual cannabis business tax. The initial tax rate effective January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2020 shall be set at two dollars (\$2.00) per square foot of canopy authorized by each City permit, or by each state license in the absence of a City permit, not deducting for unutilized square footage. The square footage shall be the maximum square footage of canopy allowed by the City permit for cultivation of cannabis as a nursery, or, in the absence of a City permit, the square footage shall be the maximum square footage of canopy for cultivation of cannabis as a nursery allowed by the state license type.

WHEREAS, every person who is engaged in business as a dispensary, manufacturer, testing laboratory, transporter, distributor, or distribution facility, or engaging in delivery of cannabis in the City shall pay an annual commercial cannabis business tax. The initial tax rate effective January 1, 2017 through June 30, 2020 shall be set at five percent (5%) of the gross receipts per fiscal year;

WHEREAS, the Cannabis Business Tax Business” shall be imposed on all businesses engaged any activities within the City, including any commercial or industrial enterprise, trade, profession, occupation, vocation, calling, or livelihood, whether or not carried on for gain or profit, but shall not include the services rendered by an employee to his or her employer;

WHEREAS, at its July 26 meeting, the City Council unanimously agreed to sponsor written arguments in favor of the measure; and,

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to adopt such written arguments by resolution;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of Greenfield that the Council submits the following written arguments in favor of the “CANNABIS BUSINESS TAX” Measure, to be considered by the voters on November 3, 2015:

Your City Council urges you to vote YES on Measure _____. The measure will establish a local tax on any medical and non-medical marijuana businesses in the City. Approval of the tax measure will not legalize any products or businesses. Such businesses must also be permitted by the City and legalized by State law. This measure will simply impose a tax on any marijuana businesses that are allowed in the City of Greenfield under State and local laws. Creating this tax will ensure that the businesses that are being regulated in the community will contribute to the City’s tax base and pay their fair share to the City of Greenfield. Voting no will not ban them, but will merely allow them to exist without paying this tax.

All funds from the tax will go to the City to be used to help fund essential City services and expenses, such as to improve the Police and Fire service to our residents, repair streets, sidewalks, beautify our downtown, and neighborhoods. This tax is part of an overall long-range plan the City has developed to improve the quality of life in the community and establish financial stability.

The proposed tax is equitable, will generate significant revenue, and attract businesses that will create additional jobs in the City of Greenfield without having to compete with surrounding jurisdictions for these businesses. The tax will fund projects and services for our community without increasing taxes and various other fees for our citizens. Therefore, Measure ____ will enrich the quality of life for the City of Greenfield citizens and our community. Please help make the City of Greenfield a better place to live for everyone in our City by voting YES on November 8th.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of July 2015, by the following vote:

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

John P. Huerta, Mayor

ATTEST:

Ann F. Rathbun, City Clerk
2690058.1



City Council Memorandum

599 El Camino Real Greenfield CA 93937 831-674-5591
www.ci.greenfield.ca.us

MEMORANDUM: August 5, 2016

AGENDA DATE: August 9, 2016

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Susan A. Stanton, ICMA-CM
City Manager

TITLE: **MEDICAL MARIJUANA REVISED FACILITY PERMIT
PROCEDURES**

BACKGROUND:

During the past six weeks, there has been extensive discussion regarding the process of selecting and taxing commercial cannabis businesses in the City of Greenfield. After conducting the initial round of review for commercial cannabis businesses desiring to obtain a regulatory permit for operating a Medical Marijuana facility in the City, I recognized city staff does not have sufficient resources or the technical expertise to implement the application process without impacting other essential community services. In order to better ensure a more comprehensive and transparent review process, the City approved a professional services contract with HdL Companies, which possesses the expertise in implementing Medical Marijuana Regulatory Programs. HdL staff will take the lead to coordinate and manage the selection process and recommend fees associated with the application process that will recover the City's administrative cost to provide this oversight.

After further evaluation of the current process, HdL and the City Attorney concur with my recommendation that the current process be modified to incorporate "best practice" procedures that HdL has developed and that have been used with other cities in order to establish a detailed application process for obtaining a license to operate a Medical Marijuana Facility in Greenfield. If the City Council approves this procedure the City will begin implementing the application process beginning August 15, 2016.

The revised procedure would create a limit on the total number of regulatory permits that could be issued during the initial process. The limits in each category would be as follows:

Type	Number
Cultivation	8
Manufacturing	8
Dispensaries	2

It should be noted, that the City award of an individual regulatory permit may require the successful business to obtain multiple cultivation state permits based on a specific square feet of premises canopy space. This issuance of a City regulatory permit does not guarantee any cannabis business that the State will issue the permits that they desire or need to make their business profitable. Correspondingly, the City’s limits on regulatory permits would bear no relationship to the number of state permits that an applicant might be required to obtain, such that a single regulatory permit could be obtained for a facility that might require multiple state permits.

The permits would be allocated using an evaluation and selection process that consists of four phases that will be evaluated and scored based on a 3,000-point assessment scale. A summary of each phase is as follows:

Phase 1: Determination of Eligibility and Application: Each Principal will be required to undergo a criminal history check demonstrating compliance with the eligibility requirements of GMC Section 5.28.060 for background checks. Applications will be considered complete only if they include all information required for Phases 1, 2 and 3 and show the proposed location of business.

Phase 2: Initial Ranking: Applications will be evaluated based on the following criteria: 1) Proposed Location of business. 2) Business Plan, 3) Neighborhood Compatibility Plan, 4) Safety and Security Plan.

The top applications for each category in Phase 2, if applicable, will move on to Phase 3.

Phase 3: Second Ranking: The top applications in each category, if applicable, will be interviewed and evaluated by the Selection Committee based on an approved criterion. Prior to the scheduling of the interviews in Phase 3 each of the final applicants per category will be required to have their proposed site inspected by designated city staff and the Consultant to ascertain current conditions of the facility if applicable. One Principal from each applicant may be required to pass a Medical Cannabis Expertise Examination, demonstrating a working knowledge of state and local compliance standards as well as the Attorney General’s Guidelines on Medicinal Cannabis.

This second ranking will be scored based on the following criteria: 1) Final Location, 2) Business Plan, 3) Community Benefits, 4) Enhanced Product Safety, 4) Environmental

Benefits, 5) Labor & Employment, 6) Local Enterprise, 7) Neighborhood Compatibility Plan, 8) Qualifications of Principals, and 9) Safety and Security Plan.

After all the applicants from Phase 3 scores have been tabulated they will be combined with Phase 2 to establish a new ranking of the top applicants.

The top applicants for each category in Phase 3, if applicable, will move onto Phase 4 of the selection process.

Phase 4: Selection Committees Final Evaluation and City Council's Final Selection will consist of the following: 1. Selection Committee's final review and evaluation. 2. City staff final rankings report to City Council. and 3. City Council makes final selection.

Upon the completion of the final review process, the Selection Committee will tabulate its final scores of the top applicants. The City Manager will present to the City Council the final ranking in which the City Council will award a predetermined number of permits for each category as determined by the City Council and pursuant to GMC Section 5.28.050.

Only those applicants on the final list will be eligible to be issued a permit from the initial permit process. Top Applicants approved by the City Council may need to attend a City Council meeting in Greenfield in order to provide a public presentation before the Mayor and City Council introducing their team and providing an overview of their proposal if requested by the City Manager.

BUDGET AND FINANCIAL IMPACT:

The cost to the applicants for Phase 1 of the application process will be **\$3,604**, which is due upon the submittal of the application on the due date. For the remaining phases the commercial cannabis businesses facility applicant will be notified by the City whether their application is advancing to Phase 2 and, subsequently, to Phase 3. A payment of **\$1,114** will be due before Phase 2 and a payment of **\$1,807** will be due before Phase 3. As part of Phase 4 the top eight (8) Applicants for Cultivation and Manufacturing and the top two (2) Dispensary Applicants which will be presented to City Council must pay a fee of **\$846** in order to move forward for final consideration for each permitted category. Deadlines for these payments will be included in the e-mail notification to the primary contact person. This new process will also require the submission of a Business Plan that describes the day-to-day operations of the facility and the mechanisms for ensuring that the facility will operate on a Not-for-Profit basis, how medical cannabis will be tracked and monitored to prevent diversion. Finally, a schedule for beginning operation, including a narrative outlining any proposed construction and improvements and a timeline for completion.

REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED:

The proposed selection process is very detailed and designed to protect the City and ensures only top quality commercial business are permitted in the City. It is the recommendation of staff and

HdL that all commercial cannabis businesses that have not been previously awarded a regulatory license by the City Council be required to submit an application through the revised application process in order to be granted a regulatory permit. Requiring all perspective operators to have their application professionally reviewed through this process will ensure the safety and welfare of the community and ensure that each operator will be in compliance with all city and state laws. The revised process also ensures that the total number of permits granted is limited and that the limited number of permits are allocated fairly, equitably, and transparently.

In the last month, several perspective permittees have either purchased land, or are in the process of purchasing or leasing property in order to conduct a commercial cannabis business. These businesses owners have communicated to staff that is was their understanding that the issuance of a regulatory permit by the City was simply a “formality” as long as their proposed business was located on land that was property zoned (or could be rezoned) with the one caveat that they pass a criminal background check. Despite any representations made, inferred or suggested by any representative of the City, the Medical Marijuana Facilities Regulatory Permit Ordinance (Chapter 5.28.040 (A), clearly states the following:

Prior to initiating operations and as a continuing requisite to operating a medical marijuana facility, the persons or legal representative of the persons wishing to operate a medical marijuana facility **shall first obtain a regulatory permit** from the city manager or designee under the terms and conditions set forth in this chapter.

As City Manager, I have not issued any regulatory permits on behalf of the City or authorized any other person or persons claiming to represent the City to suggest that the issues of such a permit was a formality or simply based on proper zoning or the ability to pass a criminal background check.

I have discussed the option of allowing businesses that have been discussing the formation of a cannabis facility with the City, but have not been issued a permit from the City Council, to go through the application process that the City utilized when the City Council issued the first two permits. However, it is the opinion and professional recommendation of the City Attorney and the Consultant that such an exemption could cause legal risks associated with interaction of state law and the City’s existing process.

This is a very complex issue and continues to evolve at the state level as the state agencies begin to develop regulations related to the issuing of permits and compliance requirements. It is important that the City Council understand the regulatory environment that overlays this entire emerging industry. Under existing law, medical marijuana facilities will require licenses from the State. Dispensaries will require licenses from the Department of Consumer Affairs (Bus. & Prof. Code, sect. 19334); cultivation from the Department of Food and Agriculture; and manufacturing from the Department of Public Health. (Bus. & Prof. Code, sect. 19341.) None of these licensing programs are anticipated to be in place prior to January 1, 2018. But, until such time as the state licensure schemes are operating, nothing prevents medical marijuana facilities from operating consistently with local regulations.

State law imposes certain limits on the size of licensed facilities and may impose limits on the number of licenses. In particular, state law limits the number of Type 3A permits. Type 3A cultivation permits are state permits that allow premises of between 10,000 and 22,000 square feet of canopy space. By contrast, state law will not limit the number of permits for premises smaller than 10,000 square feet. In addition, the state law does not clearly define the term premises at this time and may be interpreted by the regulating agency to mean a parcel of land. Those future regulatory determinations could create conflicts between the City permits and the authorization the permittee is able to obtain from the state. Furthermore, current applicants seeking City regulatory permits are requesting approvals for cultivation facilities that in an aggregate are greater than 22,000 square feet of canopy space per parcel. If the state does treat such facilities held in a single ownership as a single premise, it will require the owners to transfer the facilities to others in order to obtain state licenses. Additionally, California law also requires the Department of Food and Agriculture (“DFA”) to limit the number of Type 3A licenses available for cultivation of between 10,000 and 22,000 square feet. (Bus. & Prof. Code § 19332(g).) DFA may limit the licenses on a statewide basis or at a local basis. For all of these reasons, it may be the case that only some of the operations permitted by Greenfield will be able to obtain state licenses, or the operations may need to modify their operations to a Type 2A license which is less than 10,000 square feet of canopy space per premise.

If that occurs, the permit holders that do not obtain state licenses will be incentivized to invalidate the local permits of other medical marijuana operators. Similarly, applicants that do not receive local permits may attempt to invalidate the permits allocated to others all together. The competitive environment makes it critically important that the process the City utilizes to grant regulatory permits be done fairly and consistently with the City’s regulations and procedures.

The proposed review process is comprehensive and designed to protect the welfare and safety of the community. Furthermore, as part of the application process the City at its sole discretion may require one Principal from each application to take and pass the Medical Cannabis Expertise Examination to ensure regulatory compliance with state and local laws. This examination will test the applicant’s familiarity with the Greenfield Ordinance, the Medical Marijuana Regulation and Safety Act, California Law related to medical cannabis, and the Attorney General’s Guidelines on Medicinal Cannabis.

To ensure transparency, applicants will not be allowed to make amendments to their application or to supplement their application, except as otherwise specifically permitted in these procedures or authorized in writing by the City. Being awarded a Medical Marijuana Facility permit will not constitute a land use entitlement nor will it waive or remove the requirements of applying for and receiving permits by the relevant departments or governmental entities that have jurisdiction in this process. All permit awardees will still be required to apply and receive a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) with the City of Greenfield for the proposed construction or occupation of their facility.

Finally, to ensure neighborhood compatibility, every application will need to address how the medical marijuana facility, including its exterior areas and surrounding public areas, will be managed, so as to avoid becoming a nuisance or having impacts on its neighbors and the surrounding community.

In summary, during the last three weeks, I have, regrettably, had to personally familiarize myself with existing and pending law regulating this new industry in more detail than I ever anticipated or felt necessary to learn. Like other cities around the state and in the region, Greenfield has struggled to find the right balance in making accommodations for this new industry while also trying to take proper steps to avoid any deleterious impacts by closely evaluating and screening new Commercial Cannabis businesses. With the approval of this process, I am confident. that the City can continue to position itself to benefit from this new industry and generate new economic benefits, employment and improve the quality of life in the community.

CONSEQUENT ACTION:

Completed applications must be received by the City by 4:00 pm on Wednesday September 14th, 2016. Phase 4 recommendation is anticipated to be completed within 60 days' subject to no delays in Live Scan processing from the Department of Justice.

POTENTIAL MOTION: I MOVE TO APPROVE/DENY RESOLUTION NO. 2016-72, A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD APPROVING MEDICAL MARIJUANA REVISED FACILITY PERMIT PROCEDURES AND THE FEE SCHEDULE ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PERMIT

RESOLUTION #2016-72

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD
APPROVING MEDICAL MARIJUANA REVISED FACILITY PERMIT PROCEDURES
AND THE FEE SCHEDULE ASSOCIATED WITH SUCH PERMIT**

WHEREAS, the City Council wishes to modify its current process and establish a an application process, “Exhibit A”, and a detailed application, “Exhibit B”, for obtaining a license to operate a Medical Marijuana Facility in Greenfield; and

WHEREAS, any and all medical marijuana permits would be allocated using an evaluation and selection process that consists of four phases that will be evaluated and scored based on a 3,000-point assessment scale; Phase 1 - Determination of Eligibility and Application; Phase 2 - Initial Ranking; Phase 3 - Second Ranking and Phase 4 - Selection Committees Final Evaluation; and

WHEREAS, each applicant is responsible for the fees associated with each phase as outlined in the fee schedule, “Exhibit “C””; and

WHEREAS, the medical marijuana permit review process is comprehensive and designed to protect the welfare and safety of our community.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Greenfield approves the Medical Marijuana Revised Permit Procedure.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Greenfield at regular meeting duly held on the 9th day of August, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES, in all in favor, thereof, Councilmembers:

NOES, Councilmembers:

ABSENT, Councilmembers:

Mayor of the City of Greenfield

Attest:

City Clerk of the City of Greenfield



APPLICATION PROCEDURE TO OPERATE A MEDICAL MARIJUANA FACILITY IN GREENFIELD

The application process for a license to operate a Medical Marijuana Facility ("MMF") in Greenfield will open on Monday, **August 15, 2016**. Applications will be available at the Community Services Department located at City Hall. For questions regarding the application process please review the FAQ's, at the City of Greenfield's webpage: www.ci.greenfield.ca.us. This outlines the application process, required materials, and other information necessary to operate a MMF in Greenfield. To be considered, final applications **must be** submitted by 4:00 PM on Wednesday, September **14, 2016** at the Community Services Department located at 599 El Camino Real, Greenfield, CA, 93937. This application process is adopted pursuant to Greenfield Municipal Code section 5.28.050(E).

BEFORE YOU APPLY:

- Review the information to learn about the application process and which documents you will need.
- Review the application in its entirety to ensure that it is complete and accurate.
- Review the information regarding the medical marijuana facility application on the webpage: www.ci.greenfield.ca.us which includes the following information:
 - Local regulations governing Greenfield MMF's: Greenfield Municipal Code ("GMC") Chapter 5.28.
 - Live Scan Form.
 - Additional application information: Ordinance No. 515.
 - State laws governing MMB's: The California Department of Justice Guidelines for the Security and Non-Diversion of Marijuana Grown for Medical Use and Senate Bill 420 (Medical Marijuana Program Act).
 - Local Zoning Ordinance Chapter 17.16
 - Frequently Asked Questions

- (1) **Application Process: Evaluation and Ranking:** The selection process shall consist of the following Four Phases:

Phase 1: Preliminary determination of eligibility. \$3,804

Phase 2: Initial ranking. \$1,131

Phase 3: Second ranking. \$1,805

Phase 4: Public Meeting and City Council Final Selection. \$930

For more information, see Evaluation and Selection Process below.

- (2) **Criminal History Check:** As part of Phase 1 of the Application Process, each individual applying to be a principal of the MMF ("Principal") must apply for a Live Scan criminal history check. This process must be conducted by the City of Greenfield by appointment only. Please contact Nina Aguayo by phone at 831-304-0307 or by email at Naguayo@ci.greenfield.ca.us in order to schedule your appointment. Due to limited staff resources you are encouraged to schedule your appointment as early as possible in order complete your Live Scan requirement before the due date of the application. The City cannot guarantee that it will be able to accommodate applicants who do not attempt to schedule an appointment until near the application deadline, and the City is not responsible for applicants who are

unable to schedule an appointment prior to the application deadline. Please be advised that there will be a Live Scan **processing fee of \$93** per person which must be paid at the time of the Live Scan. The Live Scan process involves submitting fingerprints to the DOJ, which will review for criminal offender record information (CORI). CORI reports will be provided to the City of Greenfield for the sole purpose of determining eligibility for operating a MMF. See GMC Section 5.28.060 for background check requirements. Principals who do not meet criminal history eligibility requirements will be disqualified.

- (3) Applicants will be required to obtain a **“Zoning Verification Letter”** from the Community Services Department in City Hall, located at 599 El Camino Real in Greenfield in order to ensure that the location proposal the applicant is applying for meets locational requirements prior to submitting their MMF application. The review process typically takes approximately ten (10) working days and cost **\$289**. The **“Zoning Verification Letter”** will need to be included with the application package. Please note the issuance of a **“Zoning Verification Letter”** does not mean the written evidence of permission given by the City of Greenfield or any of its officials to operate a MMF, nor does it not mean **“permit”** within the meaning of the Permit Streamlining Act, nor does it constitute an entitlement under the Zoning or Building Code. A regulatory permit for the purpose of regulating a MMF does not constitute a permit that runs with the land on which the MMF is established. Request for Zoning Verification Letters require a written request from the Community Services Department and will not be completed over the counter.
- (4) **Application:** Applicants must hand deliver three (3) complete comprehensive and signed copies of the Greenfield Medical Marijuana Facility Application Form, and all attachments, if any, along with a flash drive which contains one comprehensive and signed copy of the application in a pdf format, and payment of **\$3,804**, for the initial application fee by **4:00 PM on Wednesday Sept 14, 2016**. Payment must be made by a certified check, cashier’s check or money order made payable to the City of Greenfield. Application Fees are non-refundable. A complete application will consist of the following information:
- a. The Greenfield Medical Marijuana Facility Application Form;
 - b. Proof of Live Scan payment for each of the Principals;
 - c. Zoning Verification Letter; and
 - d. All of the information about the MMF to be evaluated in Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 which is described in the Application and Evaluation Process section below in this procedure. The only information that can be submitted after the initial application is proof of property ownership or lease agreement. However, any change in location will require a new **“Zoning Verification Letter”** and must be submitted with the application package prior to Phase 3 of the selection process.

LATE AND INCOMPLETE APPLICATIONS WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED.

(5) Medical Cannabis Expertise Examination: The City at its sole discretion may require one Principal from each application to take and pass the Medical Cannabis Expertise Examination. The test may be administered for the top ten (10) finalists from each permitted category to award up to twenty-five (25) additional bonus points. The examination will test the applicant’s familiarity with the Greenfield Ordinance, the Medical Marijuana Regulation and Safety Act, California Law related to medical cannabis, and the Attorney General’s Guidelines on Medicinal Cannabis. This Optional Phase 2A would be taken prior to the scheduling of interviews for Phase 3, should the City deem it necessary.

(6) Amendments to the Application: Applicants will not be allowed to make amendments to their application or to supplement their application, except as otherwise specifically permitted in these procedures or authorized in writing by the City. During Phase 1, applicants will be notified if any of the Principals are ineligible and/or if their application is incomplete and will not move forward in the application process.

(7) Payment of Application Fees: The individual designated as the MMF contact on the application will be notified by e-mail as to whether the application is advancing to Phase 2 and, subsequently, to Phase 3. A payment of **\$1,131** will be due before Phase 2 and a payment of **\$1,857** will be due before Phase 3. As part of Phase 4 the top eight (8) Applicants for Cultivation and Manufacturing and the top two (2) Dispensary Applicants which will be presented to City Council must pay a fee of **\$930** in order to move forward for final consideration for each permitted category. Deadlines for these payments will be included in the e-mail notification to the primary contact person.

EVALUATION AND SELECTION PROCESS:

The Selection Committee will review and evaluate all applications. The evaluation and selection process shall consist of the following four phases:

- **Phase 1: Determination of Eligibility and Application**
 - Each Principal must undergo a criminal history check demonstrating compliance with the eligibility requirements of GMC Section 5.28.060 for background checks.
 - Applications must be complete to be considered. Applications will be considered complete only if they include all information required for Phases 1, 2 and 3.
 - Proposed location of business.
- **Phase 2: Initial Ranking (1,500 Points)**
 - Applications will be evaluated based on the following criteria:
 - Proposed Location of business (300 Points)
 - Business Plan (400 Points)
 - Neighborhood Compatibility Plan (400 Points)
 - Safety and Security Plan (400 Points)
 - The top 10 applications for each category, if applicable, will move on to Phase 3.
- **Phase 3: Second Ranking (2,500 Points)**
 - The top 8 applications in each category, if applicable, will be interviewed and evaluated by the Selection Committee based on the criteria listed below.
 - Prior to the scheduling of the interviews in Phase 3 each of the final 8 applicants per category will be required to have their proposed site inspected by designated city staff to ascertain current conditions of the facility.
 - One Principal may be required to pass a Medical Cannabis Expertise Examination, demonstrating a working knowledge of state and local compliance standards as well as the Attorney General’s Guidelines on Medicinal Cannabis.
 - The second ranking will be scored based on the following criteria:
 - Final Location (proof of ownership or a signed and notarized statement from the Property Owner Per GMC 5.28.050 (F) (5) (200 Points)
 - Business Plan (200 Points)
 - Community Benefits (500 Points)
 - Enhanced Product Safety (200 Points)
 - Environmental Benefits (200 Points)
 - Labor & Employment (200 Points)
 - Local Enterprise (200 Points)
 - Neighborhood Compatibility Plan (300 Points)
 - Qualifications of Principals (300)
 - Safety and Security Plan (200 Points)

After all the applicants from Phase 3 scores have been tabulated they will be combined with Phase 2 to establish a new ranking of the top applicants. The top eight (8) applicants for cultivation and manufacturing and the top two (2) applicants for dispensaries, if applicable, will move onto Phase 4 of the

selection process.

➤ **Phase 4: Selection Committees Final Evaluation and City Council's Final Selection**

Phase 4 Steps to be followed:

1. Selection Committee's final review and evaluation.
2. City staff presents final rankings and recommendation report to City Council.
3. City Council makes final selection.

After the completion of the application interviews in Phase 3 and prior to the Selection Committees final review and evaluation, the City reserves the right to request and obtain additional information from any candidate who submitted a proposal. Upon the completion of the final review process, the Selection Committee will tabulate its final scores of the top ten (10) applicants in each category. The City Manager will present to the City Council the final ranking in which the City Council may award up to eight (8) Cultivation Permits, eight (8) Manufacturing Permits, and two (2) Dispensary permits pursuant to GMC Section 5.28.050. The City Council reserves the right to award a lesser number of permits, or to award no permits at all. Only those applicants on the final list will be eligible to be issued a permit from the initial permit process. The top Applicants which are being recommended by the City Manager for consideration to the City Council should be prepared to attend a City Council meeting in Greenfield in order to provide a public presentation before the Mayor and City Council introducing their team and providing an overview of their proposal if requested by the City Manager.

- Please note that being awarded a MMF does not constitute a land use entitlement and does not waive or remove the requirements of applying for and receiving permits for any and all construction including electrical, plumbing, fire, planning permits or reviews, and any other permits, licenses, or reviews as may be necessary by the relevant departments or governmental entities in charge of said permits. Nor does it guarantee that the plans submitted via the MMF application process meet the standards or requirements in MMF Section 17.16.060 or any other permit requirement from other city departments or agencies. All permit awardees will still be required to apply and receive a Conditional Use Permit (CUP) with the City of Greenfield for the proposed construction or occupation of their facility.

DESCRIPTION OF EVALUATION CRITERIA:

- **Proposed Location.** Your application must include the address and a detailed description of the proposed location. (Note that proof of ownership, or a notarized letter of the owner's willingness to lease will not be given any additional consideration until Phase 3). This section should also describe all sensitive uses within a one thousand (1,000) foot radius of the proposed location and should certify that the proposed location is not within a one thousand (1,000) foot radius of a school whether it be public or private as described in GMC Section 5.28.050 (F) (7). The MMF must be located in the appropriate zoning meet all of the locational requirements as in described in GMC Chapter 17.
- **Business Plan.** With as much detail as possible, the Business Plan should describe:
- Description of day-to-day operations. See GMC Section 5.28.200
 - How the MMF will conform too local and state law. See GMC Sections 5.28.050, 5.28.140, 5.28.160, 5.28.170, 5.28.180 5.28.190 and 5.28.200 and Ordinance 515, and the Attorney General's Guidelines for the Security and Non-Diversion of Marijuana Grown for Medical Use.
 - Mechanisms for ensuring that the MMF will operate on a Not-for-Profit basis until the Medical Marijuana Regulation and Safety Act is fully in effect.
 - How medical cannabis will be tracked and monitored to prevent diversion.
 - A schedule for beginning operation, including a narrative outlining any proposed

construction and improvements and a timeline for completion.

The Business Plan should include:

- A **budget** for construction, operation, maintenance, compensation of employees, equipment costs, utility costs, and other operation costs. The budget must demonstrate sufficient capital in place to pay startup costs and at least three months of operating costs, as well as a description of the sources and uses of funds.
- **Proof of capitalization**, in the form of documentation of cash or other liquid assets on hand, Letters of Credit or other equivalent assets.
- A **pro forma** for at least three years of operation.

Neighborhood Compatibility Plan. For the proposed location, your application should address how the MMF, including its exterior areas and surrounding public areas, will be managed, so as to avoid becoming a nuisance or having impacts on its neighbors and the surrounding community. Furthermore, a site plan (accurate, dimensioned and to-scale [minimum scale of 1/4"]) should be included for each potential location.

Safety and Security Plan. For each proposed location, your application should include:

- A detailed **safety plan**. This plan should describe the fire prevention, suppression, HVAC and alarm systems the facility will have in place. **It should include an assessment of the facility's fire safety by a qualified fire prevention and suppression consultant.** An appropriate plan will have considered all possible fire, hazardous material, and inhalation issues/threats and will have both written and physical mechanisms in place to deal with each specific situation.
- A detailed **security plan**. This plan should include a description and detailed schematic of the overall facility security. It should have details on operational security, including but not limited to general security policies for the facility, employee specific policies, training, sample written policies, transactional security, visitor security, 3rd party contractor security, and delivery security. In particular, applications should address ingress and egress access, perimeter security, product security (at all hours), internal security measures for access (area specific), types of security systems (alarms and cameras), and security personnel to be employed. **The security plan shall also include an assessment of site security by a qualified security consultant. Security plans will not be made public.**
- A **floor plan** showing existing conditions. If changes are proposed as part of the project, then a proposed floor plan should also be submitted. The floor plan(s) should be accurate, dimensioned and to-scale (minimum scale of 1/4").

Community Benefits. The application should describe benefits that the MMF would provide to the local community, such as employment for local residents of the City, community contributions, or economic incentives to the City.

Enhanced Product Safety. The application should state how the MMF will ensure enhanced consumer safety beyond that required by GMC Chapter 5.28.190 and 5.28.210.

Environmental Benefits. The application should describe any proposed "green" business practices relating to energy and climate, water conservation, and materials and waste management.

Labor & Employment. The application should describe to what extent the MMF will adhere to heightened pay and benefits standards and practices, including recognition of the collective bargaining rights of employees. Specific practices that are subject to consideration include the following:

- Providing compensation to and opportunities for continuing education and training of employees/staff (applications should provide proof of the MMF policy and regulations to employees);
- Providing a “living wage” to facility staff and employees. Wage scale should be provided in writing for all levels of employment at the facility. “Living Wage” shall mean 200% of the minimum wage mandated by California or Federal law, whichever is greater.

Local Enterprise. The application should state the extent to which the MMF will be a locally managed enterprise whose Principals reside within Greenfield and/or the County of Monterey.

Qualifications of Principals. The application should include information concerning any special business or professional qualifications or licenses of principals that would add to the number or quality of services that the MMF would provide, especially in areas related to medical cannabis, such as scientific or health care fields.

The City’s Reservation of Right’s

The City reserves the right to reject any and/or all proposals, with or without any cause or reason. The City may also, modify, postpone, or cancel the request for permit applications without liability, obligation, or commitment to any party, firm, or organization. In addition, the City reserves the right to request and obtain additional information from any candidate submitting a proposal. Late and incomplete proposals WILL BE REJECTED. Furthermore, a proposal RISKS BEING REJECTED for any of the following reasons:

1. Proposal considered not fully responsive to this request for a permit application.
2. Proposal contains excess or extraneous material not called for in the request for permit application.

CONTACT:

If you have any questions or would like an update on the status of your application, please contact Mic Steinmann at 831-674-5591 or by email at MSteinmann@greenfield.ca.us.

	<h2>Greenfield Community Services Department</h2>	FEE PAID \$ _____
	<p>Greenfield Community Services Department 599 El Camino Real, Greenfield, CA 93937 Tel: 831-674-5591 Email: msteinmann@ci.greenfield.ca.us</p>	DATE STAMP HERE

MEDICAL MARIJUANA FACILITIES REGULATORY PERMIT APPLICATION FORM

Business Name: _____

Business Primary Contact: _____

Contact Title: _____

Contact's Mailing Address: _____

Phone #: _____ **E-mail:** _____

24-Hour Contact Information: _____

Type of Permit Being Requested: _____

Please select from one of the following categories for which you are applying for a Medical Marijuana Facilities Regulatory Permit Application. A separate application must be completed for each category type in which you are submitting for consideration along with a separate fee (Cultivation, Dispensary, Manufacturing).

- Cultivation
- Dispensary
- Manufacturing
- Please check this box to indicate whether there are other related applications

Phase II

Section A: Principal Background Information (Must be signed by all Principals)

Under penalty of perjury, I acknowledge that I have personal knowledge of the information stated in this application and that the information contained herein is true. I also understand that the information provided in this application, except the Safety and Security Plan in Section C and certain confidential information such as driver's license and social security number which can be redacted, may be public information and subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act.

Principal Name: _____

Principal Title: _____

Principal Home or Cell Phone: _____

Principal Home Address: _____

Principal Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Attachments:

____ Proof of status as a qualified patient or primary caregiver (State card or doctor recommendation)

____ Receipt from Live Scan check

____ Picture of applicant (two passport quality photographs)

____ Copy of Social Security Card

____ Copy of Driver's License, DMV issued ID Card or Passport

____ Proof of address (DMV-issued ID/driver's license, and/or recent utility bill under Principal's name)

Staff use only: Pass background check

Principal Name: _____

Principal Title: _____

Principal Home or Cell Phone: _____

Principal Home Address: _____

Principal Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Attachments:

____ Proof of status as a qualified patient or primary caregiver (State card or doctor recommendation)

____ Receipt from Live Scan check

____ Picture of applicant (two passport quality photographs)

____ Copy of Social Security Card

____ Copy of Driver's License, DMV issued ID Card or Passport

____ Proof of address (DMV-issued ID/driver's license, and/or recent utility bill under Principal's name)

Staff use only: Pass background check

Principal Name: _____

Principal Title: _____

Principal Home or Cell Phone: _____

Principal Home Address: _____

Principal Signature: _____ Date: _____

Attachments:

___ Proof of status as a qualified patient or primary caregiver (State card or doctor recommendation)

___ Receipt from Live Scan check

___ Picture of applicant (two passport quality photographs)

___ Copy of Social Security Card

___ Copy of Driver's License, DMV issued ID Card or Passport

___ Proof of address (DMV-issued ID/driver's license, and/or recent utility bill under Principal's name)

Staff use only: Pass background check

Add more pages as necessary to accommodate signatures of all Medical Marijuana Business Principals.

1. List whether, the applicant(s) has other licenses and/or permits issued to and/or revoked from the applicant, in the three years prior to the year of the permit application, such other licenses and or permits relating to similar business activities as in the permit application. If yes, please list the type, current status, issuing/denying for each license/permit. (Please attach a separate document explanation if necessary)

2. List any and all partners who have been found guilty of a violent felony, a felony or misdemeanor involving fraud, deceit, embezzlement, or moral turpitude, or the illegal use, possession, transportation, distribution or similar activities related to controlled substances, as defined in the Federal Controlled Substance Act, with the exception of medical cannabis related offenses for which the conviction occurred after the passage of the Compassionate Use Act of 1996. (Please attach a separate document explanation if necessary)

Section B: Business Organization Status

1. Describe the Medical Marijuana Business organizational status:

Attach proof of status, such as articles of incorporation, by-laws, partnership agreements, and other documentation as may be appropriate or required by the City.

Section C: Medical Marijuana Business Description and Location

1. Statement of Purpose of Medical Marijuana Business (a separate sheet may be attached):

2. Proposed Location of Business: _____

3. Name and address of property owner: _____

4. Name and address of school closest to Proposed Location: _____

5. Name and address of existing alcohol related establishment closest to Proposed Location:

6. Have you received a Zoning Verification Letter? (Please check the appropriate response)

Yes _____ (If yes, include documentation with this section of the application) No _____

7. Description of neighborhood around the proposed location (surrounding uses, nearby sensitive uses (such as schools, churches, parks, daycares, or libraries), transit access to site, etc. A separate sheet may be attached.

8. Site plan must be dimensioned and show the entire parcel including parking and additional structures. In addition, please, show elevations and photos of proposed location (Attach to application). If any exterior alterations are proposed for the existing building, also attach proposed site plans (accurate, dimensioned and to-scale [minimum scale of 1/4"] should be included for each potential location and elevations.

9. Floor Plans (Attach to application accurate, dimensioned and to-scale [minimum scale of 1/4"] should be included for each potential location). If any interior alterations are proposed for the existing building, also attach proposed floor plans.

10. Signage Plan.

11. Vicinity Map.

12. Photos of the site and building(s).

Section D: Required supplemental information

This information is required for this application to be considered complete. Attach the following reports to the application. For explanation about the information required, see the Implementation Procedures handout and/or Ordinance 515, GMC Chapter 5.28, Section 5.28.290 (Implementation Procedures).

- Business Plan
- Neighborhood Compatibility Plan
- Safety and Security Plan

PHASE III

Section E: Final Location Information

Only one site per application can be considered at this point. Attach proof of ownership of the site OR signed and notarized statement from the owner.

Section F: Essential Supplemental Information

This information is required and you must submit this as part of meeting the requirements for a completed application. Check the box evidencing that you have read the Description of Evaluation Criteria related to these specific categories in the Implementation Procedures and attach the relative report(s) to the application.

Enhanced Product Safety

Environmental Benefits

Community Benefits

Labor and Employment

Local Enterprise

Qualifications of Principals

Staff use only:

Date of initial application: _____

Number assigned to application: _____

Date fee received for Phase II: _____

Date application reviewed for Phase II: _____

Points Awarded in Phase II: _____

Continued to Phase III Denied

Date fee received for Phase III: _____

Date Proof of ownership was verified or a signed and notarized statement from the property owner was received for Phase III: _____

Date application reviewed for Phase III: _____

Approved Denied

Date fee received for Phase IV: _____

Date application reviewed for Phase IV: _____

Approved Denied

Medical Marijuana Facilities Permit Fees

Application Process

Phase 1	\$ 3,604
Phase 2	\$ 1,114
Phase 3	\$ 1,807
Phase 4	\$ 846
Total	\$ 7,371

LiveScan Fee	\$ 93.38
Zoning Verification Letter	\$289.83



City Council Memorandum

599 El Camino Real Greenfield CA 93937 831-674-5591
www.ci.greenfield.ca.us

MEMORANDUM: August 5, 2016

AGENDA DATE: August 9, 2016

TO: Mayor and City Council

FROM: Susan A. Stanton, ICMA-CM
City Manager

TITLE: RECRUITMENT OF CITY MANAGER

BACKGROUND:

Prior to approval of my resignation last Friday, I placed the recruitment of our Public Works Director on hold with Bob Murray & Associates pending the selection of a new City Manager. Since that time I have talked with Murray and Associates if they were willing to redirect their efforts from recruiting a PW director ...to that of a City Manager and they indicated they could do so. Murray and Associates is the firm that I used to recruit the Police Chief Fresé to Greenfield

The selection of a CM is obviously one of the most important decisions our community will make and one of the most critical job of the City Council. Using a professional recruiter is extremely important and will expand the applicant pool of interested candidates. Bob Murray & Associates brings a personal approach to providing quality executive recruitment services.

As shown in the attachment, their clients include cities, counties, and special districts, both large and small. Their experience includes working with the cities of San Francisco, Los Angeles, Long Beach, Fresno, Sacramento, and San Diego, CA; Eugene, Salem, and Portland, OR; Seattle, WA; San Antonio, TX; New Orleans, LA; Greenville, SC; Norfolk, VA; Jupiter and Miami Beach, FL; and Washington DC. Counties they have assisted include Orange County, Monterey County, and Marin County, CA; Clackamas County and Washington, OR; Fulton County, Orange County and Lowndes County, GA; Arlington County, VA; and Bay County, FL. They have also assisted a number of special districts and professional organizations including the Bay Area Air Quality Management District, the Arizona Municipal Water Users Association and the California State Association of Counties.

Many of their clients, like the City of Greenfield, are "repeat customers" that return to Bob Murray & Associates because of our emphasis on quality and their success in finding candidates for positions that are difficult to fill. Through many years of experience, they have created an ideal recruitment process by combining their ability to help a City determine the direction of the search and the types of candidates they seek. Bob Murray & Associates understand the importance of recruiting candidates who are not necessarily looking for a job and are doing well in their current position. Working with professionalism, integrity and personal attention, their team-oriented search process, in addition to our proven expertise, ensures that the candidates they present for City Council consideration will match the criteria Greenfield has established and will be outstanding in their field.

As outlined in the attached proposal, their 11 Step Recruitment process, created to build partnerships with their clients, entails the following:

- Contract Start Date: TBD
- Initial Meeting(s): 1 week from contract start date
- Develops recruitment brochure: 2 weeks from contract start date
- City approves brochure: 4 weeks from contract start date
- Job advertising and candidate sourcing: 8 weeks from contract start date
- Reviews application packets: 9 weeks from contract start date
- Firm screening process: 10 weeks from contract start date
- City approves candidates: 12 weeks from contract start date
- City's interview panel convenes: 13 weeks from contract start date
- Reference/Background Checks: 14 weeks from contract start date
- Second Interviews by City, if necessary: 15 weeks from contract start date
- Offer of Employment: 16 weeks from contract start date

BUDGET AND FINANCIAL IMPACT:

As indicated earlier in the week, the employment of a professional recruitment firm is a wise investment for the community. The consulting fee for this service is \$17,500 plus expenses not to exceed \$7,400. Reimbursable expenses include such items as the cost of recruiter travel; clerical support; brochure development; express mail delivery; placement of ads; credit, criminal and civil background checks; education verification; and public records searches. Postage, printing, photocopying, and telephone charges are allocated costs and included in the expense estimate.

Bob Murray & Associates guarantee that should a recommended candidate selected for the position be terminated within the first year of employment they will conduct the search again at no cost (with the exception of expenses) to the City of Greenfield.

REVIEWED AND RECOMMENDED:

I have been both a client and candidate with Bob Murray and Associates and have found them to be an excellent executive recruiter. The services they provided to the City of Greenfield in the selection of Police Chief was rated outstanding by all the assessors involved in the recruitment process and the City has enjoyed the services of an outstanding Police Chief.

POTENTIAL MOTION:

I MOVE TO APPROVE/DENY RESOLUTION #2016-72, A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT WITH BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES FOR THE CITY MANAGER RECRUITMENT SERVICES FOR \$17,500 AND EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT NOT TO EXCEED \$7,400.



**A PROPOSAL TO CONDUCT AN EXECUTIVE
RECRUITMENT FOR A
City Manager
ON BEHALF OF THE
City of Greenfield**

1544 Eureka Road, Suite 280
Roseville, CA 95661
(916) 784-9080
(916) 784-1985 fax

August 4, 2016

Mayor John Huerta, Jr.
and Members of the City Council
City of Greenfield
599 El Camino Real
Greenfield, CA 93927

Via email only to: jhuerta@ci.greenfield.ca.us; ssanton@ci.greenfield.ca.us

Dear Mayor Huerta and Council Members:

Thank you for inviting Bob Murray & Associates to submit a proposal to conduct the City Manager recruitment for the City of Greenfield. The following proposal details our qualifications and describes our process of identifying, recruiting and screening outstanding candidates on your behalf. It also includes a proposed budget, timeline, guarantee, and sample recruitment brochure.

At Bob Murray & Associates, we pride ourselves on providing quality service to local governments. We have created a recruitment process that combines our ability to help you to determine the direction of the search and the types of candidates you seek with our experience recruiting outstanding candidates who are not necessarily looking for a job. Our proven expertise ensures that the candidates we present for your consideration will match the criteria you have established and will be outstanding in their field.

With respect to the City Manager recruitment, Bob Murray & Associates offers the following expertise:

- We have placed over 200 City Managers since our firm's inception in 2000. We are currently conducting City Manager recruitments on behalf of the cities of Covina, Imperial, and Los Altos, CA; as well as the City Administrator for the City of Commerce, CA. Our most recently completed City Manager and Town Manager searches include those on behalf of Alhambra, Banning, Bell, Dinuba, Gridley, Hesperia, Lemon Grove, Manteca, Martinez, Merced, Novato, Pasadena, Rio Vista, Rosemead, Santee, and Seaside, CA; Chandler, AZ; and Newberg, OR. For a complete list of our previous City Manager and Town Manager recruitments, we invite you to review the enclosed Client List. Our extensive contacts and knowledge of outstanding candidates will ensure you have a quality group of finalists from which to select the City of Greenfield's next City Manager.
- Bob Murray & Associates is familiar with the City of Greenfield and the community it serves, as we previously conducted your Police Chief recruitment. Our knowledge of the community and its outstanding quality of life will be an asset when conducting the City Manager recruitment.

A significant portion of our process focuses on conducting thorough and confidential background investigations of the top 2-3 candidates to ensure that nothing about them is left undiscovered. We have candid discussions with references who have insight into the candidate's experience, style and ethics; conduct a search of newspaper articles; and run credit, criminal and civil records reports. This ensures that the chosen candidate will not only be an excellent fit with the City of Greenfield, but also that the selected candidate will reflect positively upon your organization.

To learn first-hand of the quality of our service and our recruitment successes, we invite you to contact the references listed on page 10 of the attached proposal.

We look forward to your favorable consideration of our qualifications. Please do not hesitate to contact us at (916) 784-9080 should you have any questions.

Sincerely,

Valerie Gaeta Phillips

Valerie Gaeta Phillips
President, Bob Murray & Associates

TABLE OF CONTENTS

THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS	2
STEP 1 DEVELOPING THE CANDIDATE PROFILE	2
STEP 2 ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN AND RECRUITMENT BROCHURE	2
STEP 3 RECRUITING CANDIDATES.....	2
STEP 4 SCREENING CANDIDATES	3
STEP 5 PERSONAL INTERVIEWS.....	3
STEP 6 PUBLIC RECORD SEARCH	3
STEP 7 RECOMMENDATION	3
STEP 8 FINAL INTERVIEWS.....	3
STEP 9 BACKGROUND CHECKS/DETAILED REFERENCE CHECKS.....	4
STEP 10 NEGOTIATIONS	4
STEP 11 COMPLETE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE	4
BUDGET AND TIMING	5
PROFESSIONAL FEE AND EXPENSES	5
TIMING.....	5
GUARANTEE.....	5
PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS	6
REFERENCES.....	10

THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS

Bob Murray & Associates' unique and client oriented approach to executive search will ensure that the City of Greenfield has quality candidates from which to select the new City Manager. Outlined below are the key steps in our recruitment process.

STEP 1 DEVELOPING THE CANDIDATE PROFILE

Our understanding of the City of Greenfield's needs will be key to a successful search. We will work with the Mayor and City Council, as well as other internal or external stakeholders identified by the City, to learn as much as possible about the organization's expectations for a new City Manager. We want to learn the values and culture of the organization, as well as understand the current issues, challenges and opportunities that face the City of Greenfield. We also want to know the Mayor and City Council's expectations regarding the knowledge, skills and abilities sought in the ideal candidate and will work with the City to identify expectations regarding education and experience. Additionally, we want to discuss expectations regarding compensation and other items necessary to complete the successful appointment of the ideal candidate. The profile we develop together at this stage will drive subsequent recruitment efforts.

STEP 2 ADVERTISING CAMPAIGN AND RECRUITMENT BROCHURE

After gaining an understanding of the City of Greenfield's needs, we will design an effective advertising campaign appropriate for the City Manager recruitment. We will focus on professional journals that are specifically suited to the City Manager search. We will also develop a professional recruitment brochure on the Mayor and City Council's behalf that will discuss the community, organization, position and compensation in detail. Once completed, we will mail the profile to an extensive audience, making them aware of the exciting opportunity with the City of Greenfield.

STEP 3 RECRUITING CANDIDATES

After cross-referencing the profile of the ideal candidate with our database and contacts in the field, we will conduct an aggressive outreach effort, including making personal calls to prospective applicants, designed to identify and recruit outstanding candidates. We recognize that the best candidate is often not looking for a new job and this is the person we actively seek to convince to become a candidate. Aggressively marketing the City Manager position to prospective candidates will be essential to the success of the search.

STEP 4 SCREENING CANDIDATES

Following the closing date for the recruitment, we will screen the resumes we have received. We will use the criteria established in our initial meetings as a basis upon which to narrow the field of candidates.

STEP 5 PERSONAL INTERVIEWS

We will conduct personal interviews with the top 10 to 12 candidates with the goal of determining which candidates have the greatest potential to succeed in your organization. During the interviews we will explore each candidate's background and experience as it relates to the City Manager position. In addition, we will discuss the candidate's motivation for applying for the position and make an assessment of his/her knowledge, skills and abilities. We will devote specific attention to establishing the likelihood of the candidate's acceptance of the position if an offer of employment is made.

STEP 6 PUBLIC RECORD SEARCH

Following the interviews, we will conduct a review of published articles for each recommended candidate. Various sources will be consulted including Lexis-Nexis™, a newspaper/magazine search engine, Google, and social media. This alerts us to any further detailed inquiries we may need to make at this time.

STEP 7 RECOMMENDATION

Based on the information gathered through meetings with your organization and personal interviews with candidates, we will recommend a limited number of candidates for your further consideration. We will prepare a detailed written report on each candidate that focuses on the results of our interviews and public record searches. We will make specific recommendations, but the final determination of those to be considered will be up to you.

STEP 8 FINAL INTERVIEWS

Our years of experience will be invaluable as we help you develop an interview process that objectively assesses the qualifications of each candidate. We will adopt an approach that fits your needs, whether it is a traditional interview, multiple interview panel or assessment center process. We will provide you with suggested interview questions and rating forms and will be present at the interview/assessment center to facilitate the process. Our expertise lies in facilitating the discussion that can bring about a consensus regarding the final candidates.

We will work closely with your staff to coordinate and schedule interviews and candidate travel. Our goal is to ensure that each candidate has a very positive experience, as the manner in which the entire process is conducted will have an effect on the candidates' perception of your organization.

STEP 9 BACKGROUND CHECKS/DETAILED REFERENCE CHECKS

Based on final interviews we will conduct credit, criminal, civil litigation and motor vehicle record checks for the top one to three candidates. In addition, those candidates will be the subjects of detailed, confidential reference checks. In order to gain an accurate and honest appraisal of the candidates' strengths and weaknesses, we will talk candidly with people who have direct knowledge of their work and management style. We will ask candidates to forward the names of their supervisors, subordinates and peers for the past several years. Additionally, we make a point of speaking confidentially to individuals who we know have insight into a candidate's abilities, but who may not be on their preferred list of contacts. At this stage in the recruitment we will also verify candidates' degrees.

STEP 10 NEGOTIATIONS

We recognize the critical importance of successful negotiations and can serve as your representative during this process. We know what other organizations have done to put deals together with great candidates and will be available to advise you regarding current approaches to difficult issues such as housing and relocation. We will represent your interests and advise you regarding salary, benefits and employment agreements with the goal of putting together a deal that results in the appointment of your chosen candidate. Most often we can turn a very difficult aspect of the recruitment into one that is viewed positively by both you and the candidate.

STEP 11 COMPLETE ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANCE

Throughout the recruitment we will provide the Mayor and City Council with updates on the status of the search. We will also take care of all administrative details on your behalf. Candidates will receive personal letters advising them of their status at each critical point in the recruitment. In addition, we will respond to inquiries about the status of their candidacy within twenty-four hours. Every administrative detail will receive our attention. Often, candidates judge our clients based on how well these details are handled.

BUDGET AND TIMING

PROFESSIONAL FEE AND EXPENSES

The consulting fee for conducting the City Manager recruitment on behalf of the City of Greenfield is \$17,500 plus expenses. Services provided for in the fee consist of all steps outlined in this proposal including three (3) days of meetings on site. The City of Greenfield will be responsible for reimbursing expenses Bob Murray & Associates incurs on your behalf. We estimate expenses for this project not to exceed \$7,400. Reimbursable expenses include such items as the cost of recruiter travel; clerical support; brochure development; express mail delivery; placement of ads; credit, criminal and civil background checks; education verification; and public records searches. Postage, printing, photocopying, and telephone charges are allocated costs and included in the expense estimate.

TIMING

We are prepared to start work on this assignment immediately and anticipate that we will be prepared to make our recommendation regarding finalists within seventy-five to ninety days from the start of the search.

Task:	Week:
Contract Start Date:	TBD
Initial Meeting(s):	1 week from contract start date
Our firm develops recruitment brochure:	2 weeks from contract start date
City approves brochure:	4 weeks from contract start date
Job advertising and candidate sourcing:	8 weeks from contract start date
Our firm reviews application packets:	9 weeks from contract start date
Our firm conducts screening process:	10 weeks from contract start date
City approves candidates:	12 weeks from contract start date
City's interview panel convenes:	13 weeks from contract start date
Reference/Background Checks:	14 weeks from contract start date
Second Interviews by City, if necessary:	15 weeks from contract start date
Offer of Employment:	16 weeks from contract start date

GUARANTEE

We guarantee that should a recommended candidate selected for the position be terminated within the first year of employment we will conduct the search again at no cost (with the exception of expenses) to the City of Greenfield. We are confident in our ability to recruit outstanding candidates and do not expect the City of Greenfield to find it necessary to exercise this provision of our proposal.

PROFESSIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

BOB MURRAY, FOUNDER

Mr. Murray brings over 30 years' experience as a recruiter. Mr. Murray is recognized as one of the nation's leading recruiters. He has conducted hundreds of searches for cities, counties, and special districts. He has been called on to conduct searches for some of the largest most complex organizations in the country and some of the smallest. Mr. Murray has conducted searches for chief executives, department heads, professional and technical positions. Mr. Murray has taken the lead on the firm's most difficult assignments with great success. His clients have retained him again and again given the quality of his work and success in finding candidates for difficult to fill positions.

Prior to creating Bob Murray & Associates, Mr. Murray directed the search practice for the largest search company serving local government in the country. Mr. Murray has worked in local government and benefits from the knowledge of having led an organization. Prior to his career in executive search he served as the City Manager for the City of Olympia, Washington. He has also served as an Assistant City Manager and held various positions in law enforcement.

Mr. Murray received his Bachelor of Science Degree in Criminology from the University of California at Berkeley with graduate studies in Public Administration at California State University at Hayward.

VALERIE GAETA PHILLIPS, PRESIDENT

Ms. Gaeta Phillips has over 18 years of recruiting experience, including more than a decade of recent experience in executive search for public, private, and startup companies nationwide. Ms. Gaeta Phillips has expertise in the full recruiting cycle, from process design and outreach through candidate assessment and selection. She has placed senior-level candidates in various aspects of the public sector, as well as with special districts and nonprofits.

Ms. Gaeta Phillips is valued for her passion for finding and retaining the most outstanding candidates for even the most difficult or untraditional assignments and for her commitment to her clients' success. Ms. Gaeta Phillips has a passion for helping people, evidenced by her fundraising and efforts to raise awareness for organizations such as Autism Speaks and the M.I.N.D. Institute.

GARY PHILLIPS, EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Phillips started his career with a New York based Fortune 100 company and quickly became a Senior Manager building and running a large customer service organization in New York and eventually in thirteen countries in Europe. He also served as a Director with a large Fortune 500 company and was responsible for developing and maintaining new and existing clients in Europe, Asia, and Australia. He then became Senior Vice President with a public enterprise software company. Some of his successes include building an organization from 2 to 250 people worldwide; acquiring 5 companies in two years; and growing a company from 800 to 1200 employees.

Mr. Phillips was part of an executive acquisition and recruiting team where he helped build a start-up enterprise software company in San Francisco. He recruited top notch talent, and built a world class organization. The company was eventually sold to a Fortune 500 software company.

Mr. Phillips has maintained customer relationships in the public sector, private sector, as well as medical, and financial institutions. He prides himself on finding key talent and offering the best customer service to his clients.

Mr. Phillips is involved in his community as a soccer coach and as an organizer of fundraisers for Autism Speaks in Sacramento. Mr. Phillips received his Associate of Science degree, as well as completed coursework at Rochester Institute of Technology, NY.

REGAN WILLIAMS, SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Williams brings 30 years of local government experience to Bob Murray & Associates and has over 15 years of experience in executive recruitments with our firm. Prior to joining Bob Murray & Associates, Mr. Williams served as Director of Public Safety with the City of Sunnyvale, CA. Mr. Williams was involved in the development of some of Sunnyvale's most innovative public safety programs and has a national reputation for excellence in law enforcement, as well as in law enforcement executive recruiting. He has been responsible for over 300 recruitments throughout his career; clients find his insight and expertise in recruitment and selection a valuable asset.

Mr. Williams received his Bachelor of Science Degree in Administration of Justice from San Jose State University. He is also a graduate of the FBI National Academy.

JOEL BRYDEN, VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Bryden has over 30 years of local government experience that he brings to the firm, having retired as Chief of Police in Walnut Creek, CA prior to joining our firm. Throughout his career, Mr. Bryden has been involved in public sector consulting. He has vast experience in hiring and promotional processes, as well as interviewing candidates for advancement in all aspects of local government. Mr. Bryden has a solid reputation as a leader in the public sector. His ability to find and evaluate outstanding applicants for our clients is invaluable in the search process.

Mr. Bryden is a graduate of the FBI National Academy and obtained his Bachelor of Arts Degree in Communication from San Diego State University.

FRED FREEMAN, VICE PRESIDENT

Mr. Freeman brings over 24 years of local government experience to Bob Murray & Associates, with 11 years in the recruitment field. Mr. Freeman is a retired Chief of Police and has served as an elected official in local government. He has vetted hundreds of local governmental officials in the pre-employment process and conducted recruitments for positions in all sectors of public agency employment.

In addition to his career in the law enforcement field, Mr. Freeman served as the Mayor and the Mayor Pro-Tem for the Los Alamitos City Council. Mr. Freeman has been a member of the Public Safety Policy Committee - California League of Cities; the Orange County Fire Authority Board of Directors; and the Orange Line Development Authority as the Vice-Chair. His unique perspective and experience, as both a member of executive city staff and as an elected official, provides exceptional results for our clients.

Mr. Freeman is a graduate of the FBI National Academy and received his Teaching Credential from the University of California Los Angeles.

AMBER SMITH, PRINCIPAL CONSULTANT

As Principal Consultant with Bob Murray & Associates, Ms. Smith acts as a liaison between clients and candidates from beginning to end of each recruitment process. She is responsible for the development and distribution of position recruitment and advertising materials, client research, reference and background checks, responding to requests for proposals, and providing a broad range of support services for the recruiting team.

Ms. Smith brings over 5 years of client-oriented customer service, administrative, and management experience to Bob Murray & Associates. She is committed to working as a partner with clients and candidates in order to provide a quality service and experience.

Ms. Smith received her Bachelor of Arts degree in Business Administration from La Sierra University, Riverside, California.

HELLEN AMSDEN, SENIOR CONSULTANT

Ms. Amsden acts as a liaison among clients, recruiters, and candidates throughout each recruitment process. Her responsibilities include development and distribution of position recruitment and advertising materials, client and candidate research, reference and background checks, responding to requests for proposals, and providing a broad range of support services for the recruiting team.

Ms. Amsden joined our firm in 2016 with nearly a decade of customer service, administrative, and leadership experience. She is committed to providing the highest level of quality support and to working as a partner with clients and candidates throughout the search process. Ms. Amsden graduated summa cum laude with a Bachelor of Arts degree in Leadership and Organizational Studies from Saint Mary's College of California.

REFERENCES

Clients and candidates are the best testament to our ability to conduct quality searches. Clients for whom Bob Murray & Associates has recently conducted searches are listed below.

- CLIENT:** City of Alhambra, CA
POSITION: City Manager
REFERENCE: Ms. Barbara Messina, Mayor (626) 570-5010 or Dr. Steven Placido, Vice-Mayor (626) 570-5010
- CLIENT:** City of Banning, CA
POSITION: City Manager
REFERENCE: Ms. Debbie Franklin, Councilmember (former Mayor), (951) 922-3146
- CLIENT:** City of Dinuba, CA
POSITION: City Manager
REFERENCE: Ms. Jayne Anderson, Assistant City Manager, (559) 591-5900
- CLIENT:** City of Salinas, CA
POSITION: City Manager, Police Chief, Community and Economic Development Director; Library and Community Services Director
REFERENCE: Mr. Ray Corpuz, City Manager, (831) 758-7201
- CLIENT:** Monterey County, CA
POSITION: Chief Deputy Sheriff
REFERENCE: Mr. Mike Moore, Undersheriff, cell (831) 262-6269 work (831) 755-3725 or Mr. Steve Bernal, Sheriff, cell (831) 206-6602 work (831) 755-3725

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-73

**A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF GREENFIELD
AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE A CONTRACT
WITH BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
FOR CITY MANAGER RECRUITMENT SERVICES**

WHEREAS, the City desire to enter into an agreement with Bob Murray & Associates to perform the city manager recruitment services.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the City Council of the City of Greenfield authorized the City Manager to enter into an agreement with Bob Murray & Associates to perform City Manager Recruitment Services for an amount not to exceed \$17,500 plus expenses not to exceed \$7,400.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the City Council of the City of Greenfield at regular meeting duly held on the 9th day of August, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES, in all in favor, thereof, Councilmembers:

NOES, Councilmembers:

ABSENT, Councilmembers:

Mayor of the City of Greenfield

Attest:

City Clerk



CITY OF ALHAMBRA, CA

INVITES YOUR INTEREST IN THE POSITION OF

CITY MANAGER



THE COMMUNITY

Characterized by charming, well-manicured residential neighborhoods and a growing number of businesses, Alhambra (population 83,000) is a premier family-oriented and economically prosperous community located just eight miles east of downtown Los Angeles in the San Gabriel Valley.

Educational, medical, and transportation services abound, and Alhambra has some of the region's strongest retail centers drawing sales from auto dealerships and shopping districts.

Alhambra is an area vibrantly alive providing its residents with a wide range of life enhancing services, including access to well-developed and maintained parklands, and a wide range of recreational facilities. The City of Alhambra can boast of a variety of housing options including single-family homes with historical significance in Craftsman, Bungalow, or Spanish style, to condominiums, apartments and mixed-use residential/commercial buildings especially in the Downtown Area.

An award winning City, Alhambra is recognized for a favorable combination of housing, business, employment, and recreational opportunities.

THE ORGANIZATION

The Alhambra City Council is elected by the citizens of Alhambra to guide the policy affairs of the community. The Council is comprised of five members elected at-large from five geographic districts of the City to serve four-year terms. Municipal elections are held in November every two years on even-numbered years. Under a rotational system adopted in 1984, each of the Council Members representing the City's five districts serve as Mayor for a nine-month period. The City Council appoints the City Manager, City Attorney and City Commission Members.

This full-service City has 394 FTEs and a 2015/16 operating budget of \$137 million. Departments include Management Services, Administrative Services, Personnel, Public Works/Utilities, Community Services, Library, Finance, Development Services, Police and Fire.

The City of Alhambra is dedicated to responsive and creative leadership, providing quality services, thus

Alhambra is a premier family-oriented and economically prosperous community located just eight miles east of downtown Los Angeles in the San Gabriel Valley.

ensuring desirable neighborhoods and a supportive business environment, while being sensitive to the diversity of the community.

The City of Alhambra enjoys a stable and team-oriented organizational culture in which exists a high level of trust, where energy is directed efficiently; and the direction is not driven by bureaucracy. The successful candidate will find a professional challenge as well as the opportunity to work in one of the premier organizations in the San Gabriel Valley.

THE POSITION

The City Manager, appointed by the City Council, serves as their chief advisor and also directs and oversees the day-to-day operations of ten City departments and staff—including boards & commissions and the City Attorney.

Responsibilities of the City Manager include, but are not limited to: serving as the Council-appointed "Chief Executive Officer" to carry out policies under the Council's direction to ensure that the entire community is being served; preparing the City's annual budget with the assistance of department heads; providing the Council and citizens with complete and objective information, pros and cons, alternatives, and long-term consequences pertaining to various issues; working to enhance quality of life for Alhambra residents through better housing and recreational opportunities, community events, and the creation of a diversified and sustained economic base; overseeing development of the Strategic Plan which provides



the overall framework for translating broad community values and expectations into specific strategies for managing growth and enhancing the community's quality of life; enforcing City laws and ordinances; implementing policies, directives, and making recommendations to the Alhambra City Council; and representing the City with respect to legislative actions, environmental issues, emergency management, and interactions with other governmental entities.



THE IDEAL CANDIDATE

The City of Alhambra is seeking a highly qualified, enthusiastic candidate to fill its City Manager position. The ideal candidate will have excellent communication skills and a strong desire to provide superior service. Professionalism, responsiveness, accessibility to the public, a customer-service orientation, transparency, and innovation are valued traits. A candidate that will lead collaboratively and be respectful of others is highly desired.

The City is seeking a City Manager who will encourage an open and transparent relationship with the City Council and staff. Candidates who will roll up their sleeves and lead by example will be valued. The City Manager will emphasize the importance of partnerships to community members. The ideal candidate will build strong relationships within the community. Strong interpersonal skills and unquestioned integrity will be highly valued.

The incoming City Manager will be a seasoned individual and forward-thinking visionary who is fiscally conservative and capable of handling economic development while ensuring the long-term financial stability of the City. The ideal candidate will move the City in a positive direction by providing clear direction to staff, engaging and building relationships with the business community, and bringing a broad perspective to further developments. A candidate who possesses appreciation for diversity within a City organization will be valued.

A candidate that will lead collaboratively and be respectful of others is highly desired.

Candidates must possess a Bachelor's degree in the area of Business or Public Administration and five (5) years experience managing public sector operations; or an equivalent combination of education and experience. A Master's degree in Business of Public Administration is desirable.

THE COMPENSATION

The salary range for the City Manager is open and competitive dependent upon qualifications. The City offers an attractive benefits package including:

Retirement – PERS 2.7% @ 55, employee pays 6%

Cafeteria Plan – City pays up to \$1,124.14/month for a variety of health insurance plans, Delta Dental, and vision insurance (VSP)

Leave – Vacation leave of 96 hours/year, increasing with service; sick leave of 96 hours/year; administrative leave of 164 hours/year; 10-12 holidays/year with 32 hours of floating holiday

Life Insurance – \$100,000 basic policy provided plus one times annual salary. AD&D and TLD also available

Additional/Optional Benefits – Vehicle/auto allowance, service club dues, education bonus, EAP, wellness program, and retiree medical health provision after 20 years of service

TO APPLY

If you are interested in this outstanding opportunity, please visit our website at www.bobmurrayassoc.com to apply online.

Filing Deadline:
April 1, 2016

Following the closing date, resumes will be screened according to the qualifications outlined above. The most qualified candidates will be invited to personal interviews with Bob Murray and Associates. A select group of candidates will be asked to provide references once it is anticipated that they may be recommended as finalists. References will be contacted only following candidate approval. Finalist interviews will be held with the City of Alhambra. Candidates will be advised of the status of the recruitment following selection of the City Manager.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call Mr. Fred Freeman at:

(916) 784-9080



BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

CITY MANAGER

Airway Heights, WA
Albany, CA
Albany, OR
Alhambra, CA
Antioch, CA
Apple Valley, CA (Town Manager)
Arcadia, CA
Arcata, CA
Arvada, CO
Arvin, CA
Banning, CA
Barstow, CA
Bell, CA
Benicia, CA
Campbell, CA
Capitola, CA
Carmel, CA
Castle Rock, CO (Town Manager)
Cathedral City, CA
Centennial, CO
Chandler, AZ
Chico, CA
Chino, CA
Chino Hills, CA
Chowchilla, CA (City Administrator)
Chula Vista, CA
Claremont, CA
Commerce, CA (City Administrator)
Concord, CA
Coos Bay, OR
Corcoran, CA
Corona, CA
Coronado, CA
Corte Madera, CA (Town Manager)
Cotati, CA
Covina, CA
Dallas, TX
Dinuba, CA
Dixon, CA
Dublin, CA
El Monte, CA
Elk Grove, CA
Escalon, CA
Eugene, OR
Fairfield, CA
Fort Lauderdale, FL
Fortuna, CA
Fremont, CA
Glendale, AZ
Goleta, CA
Gridley, CA (City Administrator)
Grover Beach, CA
Half Moon Bay, CA
Hemet, CA
Hesperia, CA
Hollister, CA
Imperial, CA
Ione, CA
Irwindale, CA
Kirkland, WA
La Mesa, CA
La Palma, CA
Laguna Beach, CA
Lake Elsinore, CA
Lakeport, CA
Lancaster, CA
Lathrop, CA
Lemon Grove, CA
Loomis, CA (Town Manager)
Los Alamitos, CA
Los Altos, CA
Manteca, CA
Marina, CA
Martinez, CA
Menifee, CA
Menlo Park, CA
Merced, CA
Miami Beach, FL
Millbrae, CA
Mill Valley, CA
Milwaukie, OR
Modesto, CA
Montebello, CA (City Administrator)
Monterey Park, CA
Mountain View, CA
Needles, CA
Newberg, OR
Newcastle, WA
Novato, CA
Oak Creek, WI (City Administrator)

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Oakdale, CA
Oakley, CA
Oceanside, CA
Ojai, CA
Orinda, CA
Oxnard, CA
Pacifica, CA
Palo Alto, CA
Pasadena, CA
Patterson, CA
Phoenix, AZ
Pittsburg, CA
Pico Rivera, CA
Pismo Beach, CA
Pittsburg, CA
Poway, CA
Rancho Cordova, CA
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA
Red Bluff, CA
Redondo Beach, CA
Rio Vista, CA
Rosemead, CA
Roseville, CA
Salem, OR
Salinas, CA
San Antonio, TX
San Carlos, CA
San Clemente, CA
San Fernando, CA
San Jose, CA
San Marcos, CA
San Pablo, CA
San Rafael, CA
San Ramon, CA
Santa Ana, CA
Santa Paula, CA
Santa Rosa, CA
Santee, CA
Seaside, CA
Shoreline, WA
Sonoma, CA
South Lake Tahoe, CA
South Pasadena, CA
Springfield, OR
St. Helena, CA
Stanton, CA
Sterling, CO

Stockton, CA
Temple City, CA
Thousand Oaks, CA
Topeka, KS
Truckee, CA (Town Manager)
Tualatin, OR
Tucson, AZ
Turlock, CA
Vallejo, CA
Ventura, CA
Walnut Creek, CA
Westminster, CA
Woodland, CA
Yuba City, CA

**ASSISTANT/DEPUTY CITY
MANAGER**

Alameda, CA
Arlington, TX
Arvada, CO
Barstow, CA
Beverly Hills, CA
Brighton, CO
Carlsbad, CA
Dublin, CA
Lancaster, CA
Monterey, CA
North Las Vegas, NV
Orange, CA
Ontario, CA
Pasadena, CA
Peoria, AZ
Petaluma, CA
Pomona, CA
Rancho Cordova, CA
Reno, NV
Rocklin, CA
Sanger, CA
Signal Hill, CA
Stockton, CA
Virginia Beach, VA
Ventura, CA
Woodland, CA
Yuba City, CA

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

Alachua County, FL

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Butte County, CA
Clackamas County, OR
Clark County, NV
Deschutes County, OR
Lee County, FL
Marion County, OR
Pasco County, FL
San Benito County, CA
Tehama County, CA
Wake County, NC
Washington County, OR

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR

Garden Grove, CA
Lincoln, CA
Los Alamitos, CA
Metro Wastewater Reclamation District,
CO
Ontario, CA
Placer County Water Agency, CA
Pleasanton, CA
San Carlos, CA
Stockton, CA
Union City, CA
Yucca Valley, CA

ANIMAL SERVICES DIRECTOR

Contra Costa County, CA
Franklin County, Ohio
Irvine, CA
Oakland, CA
Rancho Cucamonga, CA
Sacramento County, CA

AVIATION/AIRPORT

Big Bear Airport, CA
Bob Hope Airport, CA
Clark County, NV-McCarran
International Airport
Dallas/Fort Worth, TX
Fresno, CA
Los Angeles World Airports, CA
San Francisco International Airport, CA
San Jose, CA

BUILDING OFFICIALS/INSPECTION

Arroyo Grande, CA

Bakersfield, CA
Calaveras County, CA
Centre City Development
Corporation, CA
El Segundo, CA
Grants Pass, OR
Marin County, CA
Modesto, CA
Palo Alto, CA
Sacramento, CA
San Francisco, CA
San Luis Obispo County, CA
Stockton, CA
Tehama County, CA
Yuba City, CA

CITY CLERK

Central Contra Costa
Sanitation District, CA
(Secretary to the District)
Chino Hills, CA
Commerce, CA
Dublin, CA
Fremont, CA
Hollister, CA
Menlo Park, CA
Monterey County, CA (Clerk
to the Board)
Moreno Valley, CA
Napa, CA
Rio Vista, CA
Santa Clara Valley
Transportation Authority, CA
(Board Secretary)
Sunnyvale, CA

**COMMUNITY
DEVELOPMENT**

Arvin, CA
Benicia, CA
Beverly Hills, CA
Capitola, CA
Chino Hills, CA
Concord, CA
Cotati, CA
Daly City, CA
Dublin, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Fremont, CA
Fullerton, CA
Inglewood, CA
Irvine, CA
Lincoln, CA
Los Banos, CA
Manteca, CA
Maple Valley, WA
Marin County, CA
Modesto, CA
Moreno Valley, CA
Morgan Hill, CA
Newark, CA
Newcastle, WA
Oakland, CA
Oceanside, CA
Palo Alto, CA
Palm Springs, CA
Pleasanton, CA
Redlands, CA
Salem, OR
Salinas, CA
San Antonio Housing Authority, TX
San Carlos, CA
Santa Cruz, CA
Sausalito, CA
Stockton, CA
Sonoma County, CA
Sumter County, FL
Vacaville, CA
Vallejo, CA
Walnut Creek, CA
Yuba City, CA
Yucca Valley, CA

**CONVENTION AND VISITOR'S BUREAU
DIRECTOR**

Las Vegas Convention and Visitors'
Authority, NV
Los Angeles, CA
North Lake Tahoe Visitors Bureau, CA
Mammoth Lakes, CA
San Antonio, TX
Steamboat Springs, CO

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Broward County, FL

Chula Vista, CA
Concord, CA
Corona, CA
Daly City, CA
Fullerton, CA
Milpitas, CA
Modesto, CA
Morgan Hill, CA
New Orleans Redevelopment
Authority, OR
Oakland, CA
Peoria, AZ
Phoenix, AZ
Port of Los Angeles, CA
Port of San Diego, CA
Redlands, CA
Sacramento, CA
Salinas, CA
San Antonio Housing
Authority, TX
Scottsdale, AZ
Stockton, CA
Taft, CA
Tracy, CA
Upland, CA
Vancouver, WA
Visalia, CA

ENGINEERING

Bakersfield, CA
Barstow, CA
Bob Hope Airport, CA
Central Contra Costa Sanitary
District, CA
Chino Hills, CA
Clark County, NV –
McCarran Airport
Corona, CA
Damascus, OR
Dublin San Ramon Services
District, CA
Elk Grove, CA
Fremont, CA
Imperial Irrigation District,
CA
Irvine, CA
Long Beach, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Los Banos, CA
Monterey County Water Resources
Agency, CA
Needles, CA
Nevada County, NV
Nye County, NV
Oceanside, CA
Omnitrans, CA
Peoria, AZ
Pico Rivera, CA
Pismo Beach, CA
Pomona, CA
Redlands, CA
Richmond, CA
Reno, NV
San Luis Obispo County,
Nacimiento Project, CA
School District of Hillsborough County,
FL (General Manager of Transportation)
Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside, CA
South Pasadena, CA
Stockton, CA
Tiburon, CA
Tracy, CA
United Water Conservation District, CA
Vallejo, CA
Visalia, CA

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Association of Monterey Bay Area
Governments, CA
Arizona Municipal Water Users
Association, AZ
Bay Area Air Quality Management
District, CA
Broward County, FL (Port Everglades
Chief Executive/Port Director)
California Fire and Rescue Training
Authority, CA
California Peace Officers Association,
CA
California State Association of Counties,
CA
California School Boards Association,
CA
Central Contra Costa Solid Waste
Authority, CA

Chula Vista Redevelopment
Agency, CA
Cooperative Agricultural
Support Services Authority
(CASS), CA
Early Learning Coalition of
Broward County, Inc (CEO)
Elk Grove-Rancho Cordova-
El Dorado Connector JPA,
CA
El Paso Water Utilities-Public
Service Board, TX
(President/CEO)
Florida Public Transportation
Association (FPTA), FL
Hillsborough County, FL-
Head Start Division (Division
Director)
Home Forward, OR
Housing Authority of the
City of Austin, TX
(President/CEO)
Housing Authority of the
City of
Los Angeles, CA
Housing Authority of the
City of Madera, CA
Housing Authority of the
County of Butte, CA
Housing Authority of the
County of Santa Cruz, CA
Hub Cities Consortium, CA
Kings Community Action
Organization, CA
Mammoth Lakes Visitors
Bureau, CA
March Joint Powers
Authority, CA
Metro, Portland, OR
Oakland Housing Authority,
CA (CEO)
Orange County, Office of
Independent Review
Oregon Cascades West
Council of Governments, OR
Palos Verdes Library District,
CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

PATH Ventures, CA
Pima Association of Governments and
Regional Transit Association, AZ
Recreation Centers of Sun City West, AZ
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency,
CA (Executive & Deputy)
Santa Barbara County Association of
Governments, CA
San Bernardino Associated
Governments, CA
San Diego, CA (Assistant Deputy
Director – Wastewater)
San Diego Association of Governments,
CA
Children’s Board of Hillsborough County
(Executive Director)
Louisiana Housing Corporation
(Executive Director)
San Francisco Estuary Institute, CA
San Joaquin Council of Governments,
CA
Santa Clara Valley Water District, CA
(CEO)
SOS Children’s Villages – Florida (CEO)
South Bayside Waste Management
Authority, CA
Southern California Association of
Governments (Deputy)
Vancouver Housing Authority, WA
(Executive & Deputy)
West Contra Costa Integrated Waste
Management District, CA
West Contra Costa Transportation
Advisory Committee, CA
Yolo Emergency Communications
Agency, CA

FINANCIAL

Alameda, CA
Alameda County Congestion
Management Agency, CA
Aurora, CO
Baldwin Park, CA
Barstow, CA
Boulder, CO
Boulder City, NV
Calaveras County Water District, CA

Campbell, CA
Chino Hills, CA
Clark County, NV
Corona, CA
Cotati, CA
Damascus, OR
D.C. Government, DC
East Bay Municipal Utility
District, CA
El Dorado Hills Community
Services District, CA
Elk Grove, CA
Fairfield, CA
Grants Pass, OR
Half Moon Bay, CA
Hallandale Beach, FL
Healdsburg, CA
Hercules, CA
Housing Authority of the
City of Los Angeles, CA
Imperial Beach, CA
Imperial Irrigation District,
CA
Inglewood, CA
Ione, CA
Lancaster, CA
Las Vegas Valley Water
District, NV
Lincoln, CA
Los Altos, CA
McCarran International
Airport-Clark County, NV
Menlo Park Fire Protection
District, CA
Modesto, CA
Monterey Park, CA
Norfolk, VA (Assistant
Director)
Oakland, CA
Oceanside, CA
Palmdale Water District, CA
People Assisting the
Homeless, CA
Pinellas Suncoast Transit
Authority, FL
Pico Rivera, CA
Pleasanton, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Quincy, FL
Recreation Centers of Sun City West, AZ
Roseville, CA
Sacramento County, CA
San Bernardino International Airport,
CA
San Carlos, CA
San Diego, CA
San Francisco, CA
San Leandro, CA
San Jose, CA
San Mateo, CA
Santa Monica, CA
Sparks, NV
Stockton, CA
Thornton, CO
Topeka, KS
Union City, CA
Washoe County, NV
Wayne County, MI
West Covina, CA
West Hollywood, CA
West Wendover, NV
White Pine County, NV
Yolo County, CA

FIRE CHIEF

Alameda, CA
Arroyo Grande (Director of Building &
Fire)
Aurora, CO
Cathedral City, CA
Chino Valley Independent Fire District,
CA
Chula Vista, CA
Contra Costa County Fire Protection
District, CA
Encinitas, Del Mar, and Solana Beach,
CA
Eugene, OR
Fremont, CA
Folsom, CA
Fullerton, CA
Garden Grove, CA
Glendale, CO
Hillsboro, OR
Hollister, CA

Lodi, CA
Livermore – Pleasanton Fire
District, CA
Manteca, CA
Marina, CA
Milpitas, CA
Monrovia, CA
Montebello, CA
Mountain View, CA
Newark, CA (Assistant &
Chief)
Oceanside, CA
Palm Springs, CA
Peoria, AZ
Petaluma, CA
Piedmont, CA
Poudre Fire Authority, CO
Rancho Cucamonga, CA
(Deputy & Chief)
Rancho Santa Fe Fire
Protection District, CA
Roseville, CA
Sacramento County, CA
Salinas, CA
San Mateo, CA
San Miguel Fire Protection
District, CA
Santa Cruz, CA
Sonoma Valley Fire & Rescue
Authority, CA
Spokane Valley Fire
Department, WA (Deputy)
Stanislaus Consolidated Fire
Protection District, CA
Sumter County, FL
Sunnyvale, CA (Public Safety
Director)
Tracy, CA
University of California, Davis
Union City, CA (Assistant &
Chief)
Upland, CA
Vacaville, CA
Walla Walla, WA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

GENERAL MANAGER

Big Bear City Community Services District, CA
Calaveras County Water District, CA
Central Arizona Project, AZ
Central Contra Costa Sanitation District, CA
Central Marin Sanitation Agency, CA
Coachella Valley Mosquito Vector Control District, CA
Cordova Recreation and Park District, CA (District Administrator)
East Bay Dischargers Authority, CA
Fallbrook Public Utilities District, CA
Hilton, Famkopf, and Hobson LLC, CA
Joshua Basin Water District, CA
Jurupa Community Services District, CA
Kennewick Irrigation District, WA (District Manager)
Los Angeles Convention Center, CA
Los Angeles Department of Water and Power, CA (Assistant General Manager – Cyber Security)
Mendocino County Russian River Flood Control & Water Conservation Improvement District, CA
Montecito Water District, CA
Monterey Peninsula Regional Park District, CA
Monterey Regional Waste Management District, CA
Monterey Regional Water Pollution Control Agency, CA (Assistant)
Oro Loma Sanitary District, CA
Public Agency Risk Sharing Authority of California, CA
Pleasant Valley Recreation & Park District, CA
Reclamation District 1000, CA (District Engineer)
Ross Valley Sanitary District, CA
Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority, CA
Sanitary District No. 5 of Marin County, CA
San Lorenzo Valley Water District, CA
Santa Cruz Consolidated Emergency Communications Center, CA

Sewer Authority Mid-Coastside, CA
South Placer Municipal Utility District, CA
Sweetwater Springs Water District, CA
Union Sanitary District, CA
United Water Conservation District, CA
Valley of the Moon Water District, CA
Walnut Valley Water District, CA

HOUSING

Fort Worth Housing Authority, TX
Home Forward, OR
Housing Authority for the City of Brownsville, TX
Housing Authority for the County of Butte, CA
Housing Authority for the City of Los Angeles, CA
Housing Authority for the City of Milpitas, CA
Housing Authority for the County of Santa Cruz, CA
Housing Authority of the City of Austin, TX
Housing Authority of the City of Livermore, CA
Housing Authority of the City of Madera, CA
Housing Authority of the County of San Joaquin, CA
Housing Authority of the County of Santa Clara, CA
Louisiana Housing Corporation, LA
Oakland Housing Authority, CA
PATH, CA
PATH Ventures, CA
San Antonio Housing Authority, TX
San Jose, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Vancouver Housing Authority, WA
West Hollywood, CA

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

Clark County, NV
Durham, NC
Fresno, CA
Hayward, CA
Hillsboro, OR
Inland Empire Utilities Agency, CA
Las Vegas Valley Water District, NV
Los Angeles Department of Water and
Power (LADWP), CA
Modesto, CA
Peoria, AZ
Port of Los Angeles, CA
San Antonio Housing Authority, TX
San Francisco, CA
State Bar of California
Tucson, AZ
West Hollywood, CA

LEGAL COUNSEL

Aurora, CO
Banning, CA
Broward County, FL
Coconut Creek, FL
Concord, CA
Cupertino, CA
Fremont, CA
Gainesville, FL
Hayward, CA
Inglewood, CA
Lathrop, CA
Lee County, FL
Merced, CA
Monterey, CA
Moreno Valley, CA
Morgan Hill, CA
Newport Beach, CA
North Las Vegas, NV
North Port, FL
Oceanside, CA
Orange, CA
Orange County, CA(Public Defender)
Palo Alto, CA
Port of San Diego, CA

Rocklin, CA
Sacramento Area Flood
Control Agency, CA
Sacramento County, CA
Salinas, CA
San Benito County, CA
San Bernardino Associated
Governments, CA
San Mateo, CA
Santa Ana, CA
Stockton, CA
Sunnyvale, CA
Thousand Oaks, CA
Town of Discovery Bay,
Community Services District
Ventura, CA
Walnut Creek, CA
Yolo County, CA

LIBRARY

Corona, CA
Folsom, CA
Monterey Park, CA
Palos Verdes Library District,
CA
Salinas, CA
Stockton-San Joaquin County
Public Library, CA

**PARKS/RECREATION/
COMMUNITY SERVICES**

Anaheim, CA
Arlington, TX
Bakersfield, CA
Carson, CA
Commerce, CA
El Segundo, CA
Emeryville, CA
Half Moon Bay, CA
Lemoore, CA
Long Beach, CA
Lynwood, CA
Maple Valley, WA
Midpeninsula Regional Open
Space District, CA
Milpitas, CA
Monterey County, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Orange County, CA
Palo Alto, CA
Peoria, AZ
Pleasanton, CA
Pleasant Valley Recreation and Park
District, CA
Pomona, CA
Sacramento County, CA
Sacramento, CA
San Carlos, CA
San Jose, CA
Santa Barbara County, CA
Santa Clarita, CA
Spokane, WA
Stockton, CA
Ventura, CA
Whittier, CA

PERSONNEL/HUMAN RESOURCES

Alameda County, CA
Anaheim, CA
Apple Valley, CA
Arcadia, CA
Azusa, CA
Barstow, CA
Bellevue, WA
Benicia, CA
California State Assembly
Colusa County, CA
Commerce, CA
Corona, CA
Desert Water Agency, CA
Fallbrook Public Utility District
Fremont, CA
Inglewood, CA
Inland Empire Utilities Agency, CA
Glendale, AZ
Grants Pass, OR
Judicial Council of California –
Administrative Office of the Courts, CA
Las Virgenes Municipal Water District,
CA
Moreno Valley, CA
Monterey Park, CA
Napa, CA
Newark, CA
Norfolk, VA

North Las Vegas, NV
Oceanside, CA
Ontario, CA
Patterson, CA
Palmdale Water District, CA
Petaluma, CA
Pico Rivera, CA
Pomona, CA
Rancho Cordova, CA
Redlands, CA
Rocklin, CA
Roseville, CA
San Antonio Housing
Authority, TX
San Bernardino County, CA
San Carlos, CA
San Francisco International
Airport (SFO), CA
Santa Clara Valley Water
District, CA
Scottsdale, AZ
Sedgwick County, KS
Stockton, CA
Tehama County, CA
Wayne County, MI
White Pine County, NV
Yucca Valley, CA

PLANNING

Alameda, CA
Beverly Hills, CA
Centre City Development
Corporation, CA
Corona, CA
Chula Vista, CA
Damascus, OR
El Segundo, CA
Elk Grove, CA
Healdsburg, CA
Los Angeles, CA
Los Banos, CA
Madera, CA
Milpitas, CA
Modesto, CA
Needles, CA
Oceanside, CA
Pacifica, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Palo Alto, CA
Palm Springs, CA
Pleasanton, CA
Reno, NV
Riverside, CA
Robson Homes, CA
Roseville, CA
Sacramento, CA
Santa Ana, CA
Santa Clara County, CA
San Benito County, CA
San Luis Obispo County, CA
Santa Cruz, CA
Santa Monica, CA
Spokane, WA
Stockton, CA
Tehama County, CA
Sumter County, FL
Tracy Unified School District, CA
Washington County, OR

POLICE CHIEF/SAFETY

Alhambra, CA
Arroyo Grande, CA
Arvin, CA
Ashland, OR
Aurora, CO
Bay Area Rapid Transit, CA
Bellevue, WA
Benicia, CA
Berkeley, CA
California State Polytechnic University,
Pomona, CA
California State University, East Bay, CA
California State University, Sacramento,
CA(Chief/Lieutenant)
California State University, San
Bernardino, CA (Chief/Lieutenant)
California State University, San
Francisco, CA
California State University, San Marcos,
CA
Capitola, CA
Carlsbad, CA
Cathedral City, CA
Chandler, AZ
Chico, CA

Concord, CA
Corona, CA
Coronado, CA
Culver City, CA
East Bay Regional Park
District, CA
East Palo Alto, CA
El Cerrito, CA
Eugene, OR
Fairfield, CA
Folsom, CA
Fort Bragg, CA
Fullerton, CA
Glendale, AZ
Glendora, CA
Half Moon Bay, CA
Hayward, CA
Humboldt State University,
CA
Huntington Beach, CA
Irvine, CA
Irwindale, CA
Klamath Falls, OR
La Mesa, CA
Lake Oswego, OR
Littleton, CO
Livingston, CA
Lodi, CA
Lone Tree, CO
Los Angeles, CA
Los Angeles World Airports,
CA
Los Banos, CA
Mammoth Lakes, CA
(Interim)
Manhattan Beach, CA
Manteca, CA
Maywood, CA
Menlo Park, CA
Merced, CA
Mesa, AZ
Modesto, CA
Monrovia, CA
Montebello, CA
Monterey, CA
Monterey County Sheriff's
Department, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

Morgan Hill, CA
North Las Vegas, NV
Novato, CA
Oakdale, CA
Oakland Unified School District, CA
Orange County, CA
Orange County, FL (Chief of
Corrections)
Pacifica, CA
Palm Springs, CA
Palo Alto, CA
Pasadena, CA
Pasadena City College, CA
Peoria, AZ
Petaluma, CA
Piedmont, CA
Pismo Beach, CA
Pittsburg, CA
Placentia, CA
Pleasanton, CA
Port of Long Beach, CA
Port of San Diego, CA
Port of Seattle, WA
Redlands, CA
Redondo Beach, CA
Reno, NV
Rio Vista, CA
Rocklin, CA
Roseville, CA
Sacramento, CA
Salinas, CA
San Bernardino, CA
San Diego State University, CA
San Fernando, CA
San Francisco, CA
San Diego State University, CA
San Jose State University, CA
San Rafael, CA
Santa Rosa Junior College, CA
Sausalito, CA
Seattle, WA
Seaside, CA
Signal Hill, CA
South Gate, CA
Stockton Unified School District, CA
Sunnyvale, CA (x2)
Tehachapi, CA

Tracy, CA
Tulsa, OK
Turlock, CA
University of California at
Davis, CA
University of California at
Santa Barbara, CA
University of Merced, CA
(Officer)
University of Oregon, OR
Vacaville, CA
Vallejo, CA
Vancouver, WA
Virginia Commonwealth
University
Walla Walla, WA
Walnut Creek, CA
West Sacramento, CA
Whittier, CA
Winters, CA

POLICE COMMAND STAFF

Atascadero, CA
Bay Area Rapid Transit, CA
California State University,
East Bay, CA
California State University,
Sacramento, CA
California State University,
San Bernardino, CA
California State University,
San Francisco, CA
Commerce, CA
East Bay Regional Park
District, CA
East Palo Alto, CA
Fontana Unified School
District, CA (Lieutenant)
Menlo Park, CA
Monterey County, CA
Pleasanton, CA (2)
Santa Rosa, CA
Port of San Diego, CA
University of California at
Merced, CA
University of Oregon, OR
Westminster, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

POLICE OVERSIGHT

Bay Area Rapid Transit, CA
San Francisco, CA
San Jose, CA
Sonoma County, CA

**PUBLIC AFFAIRS/
INTERGOVERNMENTAL RELATIONS
DIRECTOR**

Beverly Hills, CA
Rancho Cordova, CA
Thornton, CO
San Diego Regional Airport Authority,
CA
West Basin Municipal Water District, CA

PUBLIC SAFETY COMMUNICATIONS

Aurora, CO
Clackamas County, OR
Heartland Communications Facility
Authority, CA
San Francisco, CA
San Jose, CA
Santa Cruz Consolidated Emergency
Communications Center, CA
Scott Emergency Communications
Center
Consolidated Intergovernmental
Dispatch Agency-Tallahassee, FL
Washington County Consolidated
Communications Agency, OR
Yolo Emergency Communications
Agency, CA

**PUBLIC WORKS & DEVELOPMENT
SERVICES**

Alhambra, CA
Belmont, CA
Beverly Hills, CA
Chandler, AZ
Clark County, NV
Commerce, CA
Dallas, TX
Elk Grove, CA
Fresno, CA

Galt, CA
Grants Pass, OR
Half Moon Bay, CA
Healdsburg, CA
Hollister, CA
Huntington Beach, CA
Inglewood, CA
Lathrop, CA
Los Banos, CA
Mammoth Lakes, CA
Maple Valley, WA
Monrovia, CA
Morro Bay, CA
Needles, CA
Pico Rivera, CA
Pismo Beach, CA
Pomona, CA
Poway, CA
Provo, UT
Redlands, CA
Roseburg, OR
Roseville, CA
San Benito County, CA
San Carlos, CA
San Diego, CA
San Jose, CA
Santa Cruz, CA
South Pasadena, CA
Stockton, CA
Sumter County, FL
Tehama County, CA
Tiburon, CA
Upland, CA
Vallejo, CA
Woodland, CA
Yuba City, CA

PURCHASING

Central Contra Costa Sanitary
District, CA
Corona, CA
Housing Authority of the City
of Los Angeles
Tacoma, WA

RISK MANAGEMENT

Azusa, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

California State University, San Marcos,
CA
Central Contra Costa Sanitary District,
CA
Central Marin Sanitation Agency, CA
Long Beach, CA
Las Vegas Valley Water District, NV
Riverside Transit Agency, CA

TRANSPORTATION

Association of Monterey Bay Area
Governments, CA
Dallas, TX
Elk Grove-Rancho Cordova-El Dorado
County Connector Joint Powers
Authority, CA
Omnitrans, CA
San Diego Association of Governments,
CA
San Joaquin Council of Governments,
CA
Santa Clarita, CA
Washington County, OR
West Contra Costa Transportation
Advisory Committee, CA

**WASTE WATER/SANITATION/
SOLID WASTE**

Berkeley, CA
Central Contra Costa Sanitation District,
CA
Central Contra Costa Solid Waste
Authority, CA
Central Marin Sanitation Agency, CA
Dublin San Ramon Services District, CA
East Bay Dischargers Authority, CA
Monterey Regional Waste Management
District, CA
Monterey Regional Water Pollution
Control Agency, CA
Oro Loma Sanitary District, CA
Redlands, CA
Richmond, CA
Ross Valley Sanitary District, CA
Salinas Valley Solid Waste Authority, CA
Sanitary District No. 5 of Marin County,
CA

San Jose, CA
Sewer Authority Mid-
Coastside, CA
South Bayside Waste
Management Authority, CA
South Placer Municipal Utility
District, CA
Stockton, CA
Union Sanitary District, CA
Vallejo, CA
West Contra Costa Integrated
Waste Management Authority,
CA

WATER

Arizona Municipal Water
Users Association, AZ
Aurora, CO
Bakersfield, CA
Beverly Hills, CA (Water
Conservation Administrator,
Water Resources Manager,
Project Manager)
Calaveras County Water
District, CA
Joshua Basin Water District,
CA
Kennewick Irrigation District,
CA
Los Angeles Department of
Water and Power, CA
(Director of Cyber Security)
Phoenix, AZ
Reclamation District 1000,
CA
Redlands, CA
Sacramento Area Flood
Control Agency, CA
San Diego, CA
San Jose, CA
San Lorenzo Valley Water
District, CA (District
Manager)
San Luis Obispo County, CA
Santa Clara Valley Water
District, CA

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

South Placer Municipal Utility District,
CA
Stockton, CA
Sweetwater Springs Water District, CA
Vallejo, CA
Valley of the Moon Water District, CA
United Water Conservation District, CA
Walnut Valley Water District, CA
Yuba City, CA

OTHER

Bay Area Air Quality Management
District, CA (Deputy Air Pollution
Control Officer)
Benton County, OR (Health Director)
Boise, ID (Community Ombudsman)
Broward County, FL (Port Everglades
Director of Business Development)
Bureau Veritas, CA (Vice President –
Operations)
Central Contra Costa Sanitary District
(Director of Collection System
Operations and Director of Plant
Operations)
Cooperative Agricultural Support
Services Authority, CA (Executive
Officer)
Cordova Recreation & Park District
(District Administrator and Maintenance
Superintendent)
Government Services Group, Inc.
(Municipal Services Manager)
Houston Housing Authority (Director
Real Estate Investments &
Development)
Housing Authority of the City of Los
Angeles (Director of General Services)
Imperial Irrigation District (Assistant
Manager of Construction Operations and
Maintenance)
Hilton, Farnkopf, and Hobson LLC
(Manager/Vice President)
Las Vegas Convention and Visitors
Authority, NV (Director of Facility
Projects)
Long Beach, CA (Director of
Environmental Services)

Metropolitan Washington
Airports Authority (Vice
President for Public Safety)
Monterey Bay Unified Air
Pollution Control District, CA
(Air Pollution Control
Officer)
Monterey Park, CA (Director
of Management Services)

Peoria, AZ (Chief Business
Attraction Officer)
Port of Long Beach, CA
(Managing Director)
Port of San Diego, CA
(Senior Director of Real
Estate)
Redlands, CA (8 Mid-Level
Managers and Natural
Resources Manager)
Robson Homes (Forward
Planner and Land Acquisition
Manager)
Sacramento, CA
(Preservation Director)
Sacramento, CA (Urban
Design Manager)
San Jose, CA (Assistant
Director of Environmental
Services and Environmental
Services Director)
San Jose, CA (Deputy
Director, Police Department
Bureau of Technical Services)
San Manuel Band of Tribal
Indians, CA (Tribal Manager)
Santa Barbara County Air
Pollution Control (Air
Pollution Control Officer)
Sedgwick County, Kansas
(Health Department Director)
Shea Properties, CO (Vice
President, Shea Properties –
Denver)
Superior Court of California,
County of San Luis Obispo,

BOB MURRAY & ASSOCIATES
CLIENT LIST

CA (Assistant Court Executive Officer)
Vallejo, CA (Water Engineering
Manager, Water Treatment
Superintendent, Water Distribution
Superintendent)
Washoe County, NV (Senior Services
Director)
Washoe County, NV (Social Services
Director)